```
Page 1
 1
 3
 4
 5
 6
       SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT MEETING
 7
 8
         JANUARY 31, 2002 - 2:00 P.M.
         ROOM 412, KNOTT BUILDING
10
11
           FLORIDA STATE CAPITOL
12
            TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
13
14
15
16
17
18
                 REPORTED BY:
19
               MONA L. WHIDDON
20
                COURT REPORTER
21 Division of Administrative Hearings
               DeSoto Building
22
23
            1230 Apalachee Parkway
            Tallahassee, Florida
24
25
     DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675
```

1					
	Pa	ge 2			Page 4
1	MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE		1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	SENATOR DANIEL WEBSTER, CHAIRMAN		2	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: The Committee on Senate	
3	SENATOR GINNY BROWN-WAITE		3	Redistricting will come to order. The Secretary will call	
4	SENATOR WALTER G. CAMPBELL, JR.		4	the roll.	
5	SENATOR LEE CONSTANTINE		5	(Roll called.)	
6	SENATOR ANNA P. COWIN		6	THE SECRETARY: A quorum is present.	
7	SENATOR VICTOR D. CRIST		7	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Thank you. It's been a while since	
8	SENATOR MANDY DAWSON		8	we've met and we have before us a Committee Substitute	
9	SENATOR ALEX DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA		9	Senate bill 594 which was adopted by Congressional	
10	SENATOR RUDY GARCIA		10	subcommittee. I would like to call on Senator Latvala to	
11	SENATOR STEVEN A. GELLER		11	explain that bill. Senator Latvala.	
12	SENATOR BETTY S. HOLZENDORF		12	SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The	
13	SENATOR DARYL L. JONES		13	Congressional subcommittee met twice as a subcommittee. We	
14	SENATOR JAMES E. KING, JR.		14	had a public hearing on during the first week of	
15	SENATOR RON KLEIN		15	January. We originally posted the bill on Thursday,	
16	SENATOR JACK LATVALA		16	January the 3rd, and then we had a public hearing the	
17	SENATOR JOHN F. LAURENT		17	following week.	
18	SENATOR ALFRED LAWSON, JR.		18	We had a 6-hour time slot set aside for the public	
19	SENATOR TOM LEE		19	hearing and unfortunately only had one witness, but we did	
20	SENATOR KENDRICK B. MEEK		20	have a meeting and we went over two proposed plans. Then	
21	SENATOR LESLEY MILLER, JR.		21	we came back last week on Friday morning with a melding of	
22	SENATOR DURELL PEADEN, JR.		22	those two plans and produced a committee bill. We had a	
23	SENATOR BILL POSEY		23	committee hearing at that time where we had, I think, two	
24	SENATOR KEN PRUITT		24	witnesses, maybe three witnesses. No amendments that I	
25	SENATOR DEBBY P. SANDERSON		25	recall, and we produced this committee substitute.	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675			DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
<u></u>					
	Pa	ge 3			Page 5
1	SENATOR BURT L. SAUNDERS		1	The map, if we could zero in on the proposed committee	
2	SENATOR JIM SEBESTA		2	substitute map, we have a little larger picture, we'll	
3	SENATOR RONALD A. SILVER		3	I'll explain it. Basically, we started at the Alabama	
4	SENATOR ROD SMITH		4	line, we took 639,000 people and put them in a district and	
5	SENATOR J. ALEX VILLALOBOS		5	then we did another 639,000 people and put them in a	
6	SENATOR DEBBIE WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ		6	district and came eastward.	
7			7	The new, the so-called open seats or districts, to the	
8			8	best of my knowledge, there is not a Congressional	
9			9	incumbent in at the present time, would be District 13.	
10			10	Whoever has got the pointer might show where that is, that	
10			10	whoever has got the pointer might show where that is, that	
11			11	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando,	
11			11	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando,	
11 12			11 12	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right	
11 12 13			11 12 13	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there.	
11 12 13 14			11 12 13 14	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would	
11 12 13 14 15			11 12 13 14 15	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade	
11 12 13 14 15			11 12 13 14 15 16	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades,	
11 12 13 14 15 16			11 12 13 14 15 16	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17			11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from the bottom end of the state up.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from the bottom end of the state up. We maintained the current minority access seats in	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21			11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from the bottom end of the state up. We maintained the current minority access seats in Districts 3, 17 and 23 and kept those very close to the	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from the bottom end of the state up. We maintained the current minority access seats in Districts 3, 17 and 23 and kept those very close to the percentages from the 1992 plan. Each one of those three	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from the bottom end of the state up. We maintained the current minority access seats in Districts 3, 17 and 23 and kept those very close to the percentages from the 1992 plan. Each one of those three districts had to gain a substantial amount of people	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is up on the upper west coast, including Citrus, Hernando, Sumter, Pasco, Hillsborough and part of Polk County right there. And then down in south Florida in yellow, which would be District 24, which includes Monroe County, Miami-Dade County, parts of Broward, Collier, Hendry, Glades, Highlands, and Charlotte and Lee Counties, kind of a large geographic district, basically all of the territory from the bottom end of the state up. We maintained the current minority access seats in Districts 3, 17 and 23 and kept those very close to the percentages from the 1992 plan. Each one of those three districts had to gain a substantial amount of people because the population growth in those districts did not	

	Page 6			Page 8
1	had to gain over a hundred thousand people.	1	runs completely along the Florida coast from north Palm	
2	So, Mr. Chairman, we've kept we've tried to keep	2	Beach County all the way down to Miami Beach. It includes	
3	coastal areas together, communities of interest. We did	3	communities like Boca Raton, north Palm Beach County,	
4	look at existing districts. For the most part, kept the	4	Pompano Beach, Ft. Lauderdale, Hallendale, and then all the	
5	existing districts together as well. We have accommodated	5	way down to Miami Beach.	
6	in the process and with the amendment that you'll have	6	And the residents of those beachfront cities clearly	
7	today, the Latvala amendment today, we kept we have	7	have a common thread of interest, they shop in the same	
8	accommodated a lot of individual requests from individual	8	communities, they have the same interests, they have the	
9	congressmen, members of the Congress with specific	9	same economic and environmental concerns. The district has	
10	geographical areas that they wanted included in their	10	had the highest percentage of senior citizens in the state,	
11	districts.	11	which is another community of interest.	
12	The only serious objections that have been reported	12	The subcommittee map does harm to those communities of	
13	personally to me by a Congressman is Congressman Weldon's	13	interest by dividing them up between District 18 and	
14	objection to splitting Indian River County. We had a lot	14	District 20 and it seems as though that is done in order to	
15	of correspondence on that from the Indian River County	15	shore up the Republicans in Congressman Shaw's district,	
16	Commission, the City of Vero Beach, and so forth and so on.	16	which is not a reason that seems to be valid.	
17	We have moved in each of the plans a little bit closer	17	It radically alters, the proposed map radically alters	
18	to having all of Indian River County in that, but I	18	Congressional District 22. Even though it needs to pick up	
19	committed in the subcommittee with Senator Posey that I do	19	8,500 people, it shifts 200,000 residents from Miami-Dade,	
20	believe that in the conference situation with the House,	20	almost 200,000 residents from Miami-Dade, in Congressman	
21	they'll get into a position where Indian River will all be	21	Shaw's district, to seemingly make his district safer for	
22	within one county in that district.	22	them. The population in that stretch of Miami-Dade is	
23	As all members of the committee know, we the	23	largely a Jewish population with a mixture of many Hispanic	
24	Senate, when we adopt a plan, then the House adopts a plan	24	residents.	
25	and we have to go to conference and, obviously, the map	25	It seems to single out those voters for removal and	
23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	. ,		, ,	
	Page 7			Page 9
1	that comes out at the end of the session, you know,	1	puts them and replaces them by having Congressional	
2	probably in some cases will look like what's up there, and			
	r	2	District 22 swing around underneath 595 into central and	
3	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the	2 3	District 22 swing around underneath 595 into central and west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented,	
3 4			<u>c</u>	
	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the	3	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented,	
4	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House.	3 4	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those	
4 5	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain	3 4 5	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be	
4 5 6	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the	3 4 5 6	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who	
4 5 6 7	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map.	3 4 5 6 7	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in	
4 5 6 7 8	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator	3 4 5 6 7 8	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district.	
4 5 6 7 8 9	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at if you	
4 5 6 7 8 9	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest is one in which a group of people actually share interest,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number of counties in the districts as much as we could.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest is one in which a group of people actually share interest, that there's some common thread of relevant interest	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number of counties in the districts as much as we could. In this particular district, I think we reduced it	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest is one in which a group of people actually share interest, that there's some common thread of relevant interest between them.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number of counties in the districts as much as we could. In this particular district, I think we reduced it from three counties to one county that made it not nearly	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest is one in which a group of people actually share interest, that there's some common thread of relevant interest between them. Current Congressional District 22, which is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number of counties in the districts as much as we could. In this particular district, I think we reduced it from three counties to one county that made it not nearly as long. Previously, it went all the way from the southern	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest is one in which a group of people actually share interest, that there's some common thread of relevant interest between them.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number of counties in the districts as much as we could. In this particular district, I think we reduced it from three counties to one county that made it not nearly	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in some cases won't as we accommodate compromises with the House. We well, I guess, Mr. Chairman, I'll entertain questions, but I guess that is a pretty good summary of the map. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions of Senator Latvala on the committee substitute that passed the subcommittee? Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Latvala, I wanted to just direct the Senators the members' attention to Broward County, so perhaps we could focus on that. The map splits Broward County into six Congressional districts, which is more than any other county in the state in the plan that's in front of us, even more than Dade County and Dade has a half a million people more than Broward. In terms of communities of interest, the Supreme Court has said by definition that a community of interest is one in which a group of people actually share interest, that there's some common thread of relevant interest between them. Current Congressional District 22, which is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	west Broward, which Congressman Shaw has never represented, and, quite frankly, with all due respect, with whom those people have nothing in common and could not ever really be described as a community of interest with the people who live on up the beach in the parts that remain in Congressman Shaw's district. And if you notice, if you turn and look at — if you turn on the red and blue in that area, many of the voters that he picks up in central and west Broward are Republican voters. I mean, it seems to have been picked and chosen specifically for that purpose. I don't think that that's wise and I just wonder why you made those choices as a policy matter. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, we took account a number of factors in looking at these: Compactness, the length of the district, the number of counties that would be impacted by a district. We tried to reduce the number of counties in the districts as much as we could. In this particular district, I think we reduced it from three counties to one county that made it not nearly as long. Previously, it went all the way from the southern	

	Page 10			Page 12
1	the exact distance, but that was probably somewhere in the	1	besides just that you want to tighten up the length of	rage 12
2	neighborhood of 80, 100 miles, a very long, skinny	2	Congressman Shaw's district, what do the people, and how	
3	district, and we attempted to make it a little more	3	could you possibly define the people in west Broward of the	
4	compact, we took into account all the demographic factors,	4	community of interest with the people who live on up the	
5	the input from the elected officials in the district and	5	beach?	
6	members of the Legislature here.	6	SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Mr. Chairman, I'm not as	
7	SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Mr. Chairman, follow up?	7	articulate as Senator Wasserman-Schultz, but I've tried to	
8	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized.	8	explain it as best I can.	
9	SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	9	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Geller.	
10	I can understand that, but I guess, Senator Latvala, I just	10	SENATOR GELLER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Two questions,	
11	wonder what you believe the people in west Broward, who I	11	I believe, for Senator Latvala, maybe three.	
12	currently represent in the Florida Senate and have in the	12	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized.	
13	Florida House before that for eight years, quite honestly,	13	SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, can I ask you first,	
14	I have lived in Broward County since 1989 and I maybe have	14	what the performance or expected numbers here will be? I	
15	been to the beach, and I live in the Westin, which is in	15	mean, the way that I have looked at it in terms of the	
16	Congressional District 20, almost along the Everglades, I	16	number of Congressmen that would be Democrats, the number	
17	maybe have been to the beach twice in Broward in 14 years.	17	of Congressmen that would be Republicans, if we're going to	
18	I'm not kidding. I mean, literally, actually traveled	18	have 25 seats, it appears that the way that this map is	
19	to the beach in Broward County just because. I mean, and	19	drawn, that we'll probably end up with about 17	
20	quite honestly, a lot of the people out in west Broward are	20	Republican-based seats and about eight Democratic-based	
21	just like that. It takes about a half hour, maybe a bit,	21	seats. Again, that's my looking at it.	
22	little longer to get from west Broward all the way to the	22	Some of the Democrats, you know, there's always	
23	beach, it's just simply not something that people in west	23	political upsets, but it appears that there's about 17	
24	Broward have a tendency to do, because if they wanted to go	24	seats that should elect Republican and about eight seats	
25	to the beach and spend a lot of time in that community of	25	that should elect Democrats; is that fairly accurate?	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 11			Page 13
1	Page 11 interest, they would live closer.	1	SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Mr. Chairman, I you know,	Page 13
1 2	•	1 2	SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Mr. Chairman, I – you know, the way they give these sheets to us on these – you know,	Page 1
	interest, they would live closer.		•	Page 1
2	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms	2	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know,	Page 1:
2 3	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take,	2 3	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably	Page 1
2 3 4	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern.	2 3 4	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up,	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out	2 3 4 5 6 7	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up.	Page 1:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the	Page 1:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred	Page 1:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a	Page 1:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the way they give these sheets to us on these you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe	Page 1:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that	Page 1:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you?	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives.	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives. SENATOR GELLER: Instead of asking it that way, let me	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, as a follow up. Could you maybe more specifically answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives. SENATOR GELLER: Instead of asking it that way, let me try again.	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, as a follow up. Could you maybe more specifically answer my question as to why you think — you know, I disagree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives. SENATOR GELLER: Instead of asking it that way, let me try again. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Geller, I think that	Page 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, as a follow up. Could you maybe more specifically answer my question as to why you think — you know, I disagree with Senator Silver, who is a good friend of mine, but with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives. SENATOR GELLER: Instead of asking it that way, let me try again. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Geller, I think that whatever, however you want to interpret the data that's	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, as a follow up. Could you maybe more specifically answer my question as to why you think — you know, I disagree with Senator Silver, who is a good friend of mine, but with all due respect, he's never lived in Broward County, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives. SENATOR GELLER: Instead of asking it that way, let me try again. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Geller, I think that whatever, however you want to interpret the data that's before you, it's certainly plenty of data. However Senator	Page 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interest, they would live closer. So, I just want to get a sense from you what the people in west Broward, even though you might want to take, you know, tighten up Congressman Shaw's district in terms of size, why you chose to swing him around into west Broward in that particular pattern. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, as Senator Silver pointed out at the subcommittee meeting, a lot of the folks that used to live along the beach now live on the interior there in both Districts 20 and 22, and he seemed to think it was a pretty good idea based on his local knowledge and being a resident of that district. I think that, you know, the distance from one end of that district was — to the other was prohibitive, it would certainly take more than a half hour to drive from Miami Beach/Fisher Island up to Juno Beach, so, we are just trying to make it more compact and obviously easier for people to have access to their Congressman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, as a follow up. Could you maybe more specifically answer my question as to why you think — you know, I disagree with Senator Silver, who is a good friend of mine, but with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the way they give these sheets to us on these — you know, every district on an individual page, I've just never sat down and put them all on one list so I could add them up, Senator Geller. I apologize for not doing that, I probably should have done that. But I — this has been an evolving process, and I just haven't added all that up. SENATOR GELLER: Senator Latvala, you are one of the keenest political minds that I know and I'm a hundred percent confident that when you drew this map, you had a pretty good idea as to how many Democrats and how many Republicans are going to be elected. And would you believe that it looks to me like it's about 17/8 — I'm sure that you have looked, it's about 17/8, there's some, maybe one seat either way. Does about 17/8 sound about right to you? SENATOR LATVALA: Senator Geller, the Chairman won't let me ask a "would you believe" — or answer a "would you believe" question. He says that can only be answered in the House of Representatives. SENATOR GELLER: Instead of asking it that way, let me try again. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Geller, I think that whatever, however you want to interpret the data that's	

	Page 14			Dogo 16
1	Page 14 want to interpret it, that's up to you. You can speculate,	1	percentage of the population there is Hispanic?	Page 16
2	and I'm sure he could if he wants to, but he doesn't have	2	SENATOR LATVALA: Let's see. Behind the map there are	
3	to.	3	some sheets, and let's see, using the 2000 population,	
4	SENATOR GELLER: Okay. Then one follow-up question.	4	Hispanic excluding Hispanic blacks is 20 no, is 38.5.	
5	One final question.	5	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Of the voting age	
6	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized.	6	population?	
7	SENATOR GELLER: As a preface, it looks to me like	7	SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. On voting age population	
8	it's probably 17/8, maybe it's one seat off. Senator	8	where is that oh, that is voting age population, I'm	
9	Latvala, what I'm confused about just a little is the state	9	sorry. It's 39.4 total population, 38.5 voting age	
10	of Florida is pretty conclusively 50/50. I think the	10	population.	
11	entire world knows, if they didn't before the last	11	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And registration?	
12	presidential election, the entire world knows that we are	12	SENATOR LATVALA: On registration, it is 41.4 percent	
13	about 50/50 in terms of our Democratic/Republican	13	Republican and 37.9 percent Democrat and 20.8 percent	
14	performance.	14	Independent, which doesn't look like a locked district for	
15	And one of the things that I have liked best about the	15	either party to me.	
16	Florida Senate, and the most proud of, is our strong	16	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But as far as ethnicity,	
17	bipartisan leadership and, Senator Latvala, you have been	17	what percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic?	
18	one of the people in the Florida Senate who's been most of	18	SENATOR LATVALA: Percentage of the registered voters	
19	the example of bipartisanship working, both parties working	19	that are Hispanic is 22.4. 22.4, yes, sir.	
20	together over the years. I have always respected that, you	20	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Okay. Thank you.	
21	know, I regard you as a friend and as a leader in the	21	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Posey, you are recognized	
22	Senate in this bipartisanship.	22	for a question.	
23	If these numbers that I'm looking at are pretty close,	23	SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator	
24	and trust me if they are not exact, pretty close, why in a	24	Latvala answered my question in his explanation to Senator	
25	state why is the Florida Senate, which has prided itself	25	Geller.	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
			DIVISION OF ADVINGSTRATIVE HEARINGS (630) 400-30/3	
	Page 15			Page 17
1	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state	1	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King.	
2	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about	2	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be	
2	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would	2 3	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on	
2 3 4	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there?	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are	
2 3 4 5	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing?	
2 3 4 5 6	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't roally 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't souse. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation — I mean, Congressional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8. And so, if we were 50/50 in the last election, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to get you to look at what is actually Congressman Crenshaw's	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8. And so, if we were 50/50 in the last election, it really didn't perform like that under the current map which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to get you to look at what is actually Congressman Crenshaw's district, which is an overlay of mine.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8. And so, if we were 50/50 in the last election, it really didn't perform like that under the current map which was drawn by the U.S. District Court.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to get you to look at what is actually Congressman Crenshaw's district, which is an overlay of mine. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is that on the screen, Senator	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8. And so, if we were 50/50 in the last election, it really didn't perform like that under the current map which was drawn by the U.S. District Court. SENATOR GELLER: Thank you, Senator, I appreciate your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to get you to look at what is actually Congressman Crenshaw's district, which is an overlay of mine. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is that on the screen, Senator King? Is that the one that you are talking about?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8. And so, if we were 50/50 in the last election, it really didn't perform like that under the current map which was drawn by the U.S. District Court. SENATOR GELLER: Thank you, Senator, I appreciate your comments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to get you to look at what is actually Congressman Crenshaw's district, which is an overlay of mine. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is that on the screen, Senator King? Is that the one that you are talking about? SENATOR KING: Yes. And can you on the computer drop	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 15 on our bipartisanship, are we casting a map in a state that's 50/50 that pretty much appears to come out about 17/8, maybe 18/7 in terms of the number of seats that would come back, or maybe 16/9, but somewhere around there? SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Geller, again, as I tried to tell you a minute ago, I haven't taken the list and added it up in terms of performance. And then if I was going to add it up in terms of performance, I wouldn't really know which race to use. I mean, you know, Florida was 50/50 last year in the race but, you know, four years before, it wasn't really 50/50, or eight years before, it wasn't 50/50. So, I'm not sure which Senate numbers to use. I guess the only thing that comes to mind is that even before this map was created, you say that you think it's a 17 to 8 map, our current legislative delegation I mean, Congressional delegation is 15 to 8. And so, if we were 50/50 in the last election, it really didn't perform like that under the current map which was drawn by the U.S. District Court. SENATOR GELLER: Thank you, Senator, I appreciate your comments. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I may be lagging behind the curve here, but bear with me, are we on the Latvala plan 0005; is that the dissection that we are doing? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator King, we are on 004, which is the committee substitute that was passed out of the subcommittee. We'll get to that. I believe that that other plan, which will be an amendment, is very similar, but we'll get to that after we review and vote on Senator Jones' amendment. Do you have a question about 004 or 005 or SENATOR KING: Well, actually, as I now flip back to 004, the same anomaly whatever that word means yeah, that too yeah, the small bug there exists, and I'm curious, if someone can tell me, if you look at the yellow on Page well, we don't have page numbers. I'm trying to get you to look at what is actually Congressman Crenshaw's district, which is an overlay of mine. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is that on the screen, Senator King? Is that the one that you are talking about? SENATOR KING: Yes. And can you on the computer drop it down so I can see the Volusia part? Can you drop it	

	Page 18			Page 20
1	International Speedway?	1	started at the Alabama border and we put 639,000 people in	
2	If that is a tough well, Mr. Chairman, let me ask	2	the green district and then we put 639,000 people in the	
3	it a different way. And I'm not trying to break any codes	3	brown district, which is District No. 2, and then we took	
4	or anything, but the blue that cuts — is there a purpose	4	the next 639,000 people and put it into Congressman	
5	of the fact that it's not all contiguous? Are we doing	5	Thurman's district.	
6	something there that includes or excludes something that we	6	Now, what that allows us to do was leave her in a	
7	didn't want?	7	district that's roughly half of what she currently	
8	Yeah, I know it is a terrible question to ask it that	8	represents. If you look at the map under Tab 5, which is	
9	way, let's go back to the first way I asked it, because	9	the current map, you notice that she currently represents	
10	that's more meaningful. Where is the track?	10	the same area in Marion that is in the new district, she	
11	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are you talking about the yellow	11	represents all of the Citrus, which we've left part of	
12	district?	12	Citrus in the new district, she represents all of Levy,	
13	SENATOR KING: Well, yes, sir. I'm talking about the	13	which we've left in its entirety in the district.	
14	yellow district, but there's a blue district that	14	She represents Alachua, which is the largest county in	
15	intersects in Volusia, which is where the track is, and I	15	her current district as well as the largest county in her	
16	don't know whether the track is in the blue or the track is	16	new district, and she currently represents part of Columbia	
17	in the yellow.	17	which we have left in the new district.	
18	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's in the yellow.	18	Now, if we only put what was in her old district in	
19	SENATOR KING: Okay. That's fine. Thank you very	19	the new district, because of the way, you know, the	
20	much for your information.	20	population works, the people of Suwannee County and Dixie	
21	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Miller, you are recognized	21	County, which are between where her old district is and	
22	for questions.	22	where the population runs out on Congressional District 2,	
23	SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Chairman. This is to	23	the people in the upper part of Columbia County would be	
24	Senator Latvala. We all know that in the United States	24	without a Congressional representative, so you have to fill	
25	Congress that the senior members are the ones that get the	25	in.	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
			DIVIDENCE OF FIBRIAN ABOUT THE FIRM AND ACCOUNT TO SOME	
1	Page 19	1		Page 21
1 2	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and	1 2	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know,	
2	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If	2	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I	
2	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that	2 3	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job	
2 3 4	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work	2 3 4	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together.	
2	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do	2 3 4 5	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties	
2 3 4	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work	2 3 4	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together.	
2 3 4 5 6	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that.	2 3 4 5 6	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that	
2 3 4 5 6 7	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen	2 3 4 5 6 7	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties har are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties hat are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very powerful committee that can bring the bacon back home to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's like a water balloon, you push in on one end and it pops	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very powerful committee that can bring the bacon back home to the state. My question is, why would we want to do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's like a water balloon, you push in on one end and it pops out the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very powerful committee that can bring the bacon back home to the state. My question is, why would we want to do that? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's like a water balloon, you push in on one end and it pops out the other end.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very powerful committee that can bring the bacon back home to the state. My question is, why would we want to do that? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's like a water balloon, you push in on one end and it pops out the other end, But when you look at the current map that we have	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very powerful committee that can bring the bacon back home to the state. My question is, why would we want to do that? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Senator Miller, for that question because there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's like a water balloon, you push in on one end and it pops out the other end, But when you look at the current map that we have right now that, the 1996 map, and when you look at that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	best committee assignments, committee chairmanships, and can bring home the bacon to their specific districts. If you come in as a freshman in the Congress, you know that you start off at the bottom of the ladder and have to work your way up and sometimes that takes a lot of years to do that. I'm specifically talking about District 5 where Karen Thurman is. She serves on the House Ways and Means Committee, she's used her position to help Social Security programs, social service programs, she's helped the disabled. That basically helps millions of people throughout the state and throughout this country. But yet, on your proposed map on District 5, you are moving her up north of where she serves now and stretching her district from the Gulf Coast to the Atlantic Ocean, which makes it, you know, a very difficult district for her to run in, which means that if she loses, there is a freshman in that district and, therefore, Florida and its constituency loses a very powerful person on a very powerful committee that can bring the bacon back home to the state. My question is, why would we want to do that? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then when you fill in, you end up, you know, having to pull them from the south end of the district. I think, in that particular district, we have done a good job of keeping the community of interest together. We have — we have kept agricultural counties together, those are the counties that are left in that district are very, you know, Dixie, Gilchrist, Columbia, Suwannee, Baker, a lot of agriculture communities. The counties that she's lost at the southern end of the district are very heavily with retirees, and we have combined in the new proposed District 13 the communities of interest with a lot of retirement communities, and so forth. SENATOR MILLER: Follow up, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized. SENATOR MILLER: Senator Latvala, I appreciate your answer and I appreciate all the hard work that you have done in trying to do a difficult job of drawing these districts up, believe me I understand that, because it's like a water balloon, you push in on one end and it pops out the other end, But when you look at the current map that we have	Page 21

	Page 22			Page 24
1	it's and I'm not an expert or familiar with a lot of	1	the fact is that, you know, I said there's a lot of people	
2	these counties in north Florida, but I don't know the	2	who live in my district now who have moved out into that	
3	commonality when you stretch from Levy over to Suwannee to	3	particular area, the area that Senator Wasserman-Schultz	
4	the west and up to Nassau to the north, number one.	4	lives in, and, to me, there's a community of interest, the	
5	And, number two, you take her out of her base and push	5	people think alike on issues.	
6	her northward, you take her out of some counties that she's	6	There's a religious commonality up there between her	
7	represented for ten years and push her into a north county	7	district and my district. I don't know, I she seems to	
8	that the commonality and her base is just totally	8	be emphasizing this beach. You know, maybe she doesn't	
9	destroyed, and I don't that is the part that I asked,	9	like the beach, maybe that's why she doesn't go to the	
10	why we did that, knowing that we have a senior well, I	10	beach, but the bottom line is it seems to me, and I wonder	
11	don't know if it's a senior member or junior member these	11	if somebody could fill me in on what establishes, is that	
12	days sitting there that sits on a very powerful	12	sole factor that there's a beach and nothing else can be	
13	committee with a lot of clout and then just shift her	13	shown to have a community of interest?	
14	completely up into a base that's north of what her normal	14	Does religion, do values, do home prices, what I	
15	base would be.	15	mean, I we have a nude beach too in my district and I	
16	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala.	16	don't know if that has any commonality of interest with the	
17	SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Miller, I thought I	17	people in Senator Wasserman-Schultz's district.	
18	answered the question. We had to fill in with some people	18	(Laughter.)	
19	who have to come out of the second district based on	19	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: We might be somewhat unsure of the	
20	population, so we had to add those somewhere.	20	question.	
21	Now, I guess the alternative would have been we could	21	SENATOR SILVER: I guess I'm asking, what are the	
22	have taken Dixie and Columbia and Hamilton Counties and we	22	factors, and I apologize, what are the factors that	
23	could have connected them to Orlando or Tampa or something	23	determine communities of interest? Is there one factor, is	
24	but, you know, we felt like they had more commonality with	24	there a combination of factors, which I think it is	
25	Levy and the counties that butted up against them. So, we	25	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala.	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 23			Page 25
1	left those together.	1	SENATOR LATVALA: I think things that determine a	Page 25
2	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her	2	community of interest are, you know, for instance,	Page 25
2 3	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua	2 3	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community,	Page 25
2 3 4	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district.	2 3 4	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of	Page 25
2 3 4 5	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things.	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver.	2 3 4 5 6	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want	2 3 4 5 6 7	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can.	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell.	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take	Page 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those	Page 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural.	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what I'm asking about, because what Senator Wasserman-Schultz,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of the interior of south Florida as well as we could.	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what I'm asking about, because what Senator Wasserman-Schultz, and I don't know if it satisfies sufficiently, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of the interior of south Florida as well as we could. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, I live in Broward County.	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what I'm asking about, because what Senator Wasserman-Schultz, and I don't know if it satisfies sufficiently, you know, because I'm not going to make a legal determination, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of the interior of south Florida as well as we could. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, I live in Broward County. SENATOR LATVALA: When we heard testimony in the	Page 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what I'm asking about, because what Senator Wasserman-Schultz, and I don't know if it satisfies sufficiently, you know, because I'm not going to make a legal determination, but she is suggesting that because the district has the beach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of the interior of south Florida as well as we could. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, I live in Broward County. SENATOR LATVALA: When we heard testimony in the hearings in Lee and Collier Counties, it was very, very	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what I'm asking about, because what Senator Wasserman-Schultz, and I don't know if it satisfies sufficiently, you know, because I'm not going to make a legal determination, but she is suggesting that because the district has the beach now, that the beach is the only community of interest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of the interior of south Florida as well as we could. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, I live in Broward County. SENATOR LATVALA: When we heard testimony in the hearings in Lee and Collier Counties, it was very, very loud and clear. And that is that they wanted Lee and	Page 2:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	left those together. My conversation with Congressman Thurman indicated her number one priority in her district was maintain Alachua County, which we did in this district. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to readdress the issue that Senator Wasserman-Schultz brought up about the district that I would be living in and then she would be living in, I guess — CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Is this a question? SENATOR SILVER: Yes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. You are recognized. SENATOR SILVER: Oh, sorry. We may not get to the question for a couple of lines, but I'll try to put it in question form. Again, this question of community of interest, and maybe somebody can address this issue is what I'm asking about, because what Senator Wasserman-Schultz, and I don't know if it satisfies sufficiently, you know, because I'm not going to make a legal determination, but she is suggesting that because the district has the beach now, that the beach is the only community of interest factor that there is there; however, I believe that, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	community of interest are, you know, for instance, industry, you know, the nature of the community, agricultural communities, the nature of the demographics of the area, age, you know, income, a number of things. And you have to take all those things and put them together and try to make the best determination that you can. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Latvala, can I ask a question on those criteria then? On Congressional District 24, all of those things you have just said, let's take Glades County and Broward County and Collier County. Are there just a couple of little differences in those counties? Some of those are agricultural. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Campbell, we — in this map we have combined the agricultural communities of the interior of south Florida as well as we could. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, I live in Broward County. SENATOR LATVALA: When we heard testimony in the hearings in Lee and Collier Counties, it was very, very loud and clear. And that is that they wanted Lee and Collier Counties kept together as much as we could.	Page 2:

	Page 26			Page 2
1	kept that together. Then there's 60 or 70 or 80,000 extra	1	And I think that Senator Latvala recognized what he	
2	people more that would go in one Congressional district	2	was talking about and used that.	
3	that were left over, so, rather than arbitrarily cutting it	3	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla.	
4	east and west and cutting retirees in half, we cut out	4	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: In my humble opinion	
5	Immokalee and Lee High Acres, and so forth, that were more	5	also, I would have to concur with Senator Campbell's humble	
6	agricultural in nature, as well as the like Glades and	6	opinion that there's 98,000 people more or less from	
7	Highlands and Hendry, which are all very agricultural in	7	Broward County, District 24, and 269,000 in Miami-Dade	
8	nature.	8	County. Even then even in Miami-Dade County and Broward	
9	It is kind of a function of, you know, where the	9	County don't have communities of interest and two very	
10	people are. You are down at the end of the state, you have	10	different counties.	
11	got people left and you've got to find a place for them.	11	If you throw in all of these other counties, and in	
12	SENATOR CAMPBELL: With all due respect, I was at the	12	all of the public testimony that I went to, and I went to	
13	same meetings with you, and I believe the testimony I	13	the Naples' public hearing, I went to the Immokalee one,	
14	heard, at least from Collier County, is that it didn't even	14	and, I mean, speaker after speaker said, We do not want to	
15	want to be connected to Broward or Dade County, although	15	be connected to either Dade or Broward County. And, you're	
16	they said if they're going to be connected with somebody,	16	right, they preferred Dade I mean, Broward over Dade.	
17	they would rather be connected with Broward County, but	17	And the same sentiment exists in Dade County and in	
18	never Dade County.	18	Broward County and we feel that we should not as far as	
19	And it seems to me that my folks in Broward County	19	communities of interest are concerned, that we should not	
20	have absolutely nothing in common with the good folks up in	20	be connected to you know, we don't want our Congressman	
21	Glades County or Hendry County based upon all the criteria	21	to live in Immokalee or to live in Naples.	
22	that we just spoke about. And it also seems like the	22	And I think, I looked at the map and I don't envy the	
23	county or that district map is 279,000 people I guess is	23	task that you have to go through to get there, but if you	
24	very significant for Miami-Dade County and, again,	24	look at the size of that district, the number of counties,	
25	Miami-Dade County is a very nice county, I love the folks	25	there's such vast differences that I think that it would be	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
1	Page 27	1	yany difficult for any future congressmen to accurately	Page 2
1 2	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade	1 2	very difficult for any future congressman to accurately	Page 2
2	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in	2	represent all those different interests in all those	Page 2
2 3	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County.	2 3	represent all those different interests in all those different communities.	Page 2
2 3 4	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just	2 3 4	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the	Page 2
2 3 4 5	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that	2 3 4 5	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional	2 3 4 5 6	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble.	2 3 4 5 6 7	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are	2 3 4 5 6 7	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together.	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of.	Page 2
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely.	Page 2
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 0 7 8 8 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County, more so, and we felt that there was more commonality of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we want to make sure that we have some issues left to talk	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County, more so, and we felt that there was more commonality of interest between the Lee High Acres' interior area of Collier County.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we want to make sure that we have some issues left to talk about with the House. And this is one of those areas where, coincidentally, there's probably a lot of House	Page 2
2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 0 111 112 213 31 4 4 1.5 5 16 6 17 7 18 8 19 9 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County, more so, and we felt that there was more commonality of interest between the Lee High Acres' interior area of Lee County and the Immokalee interior area of Collier County. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: There was some testimony, Senator	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we want to make sure that we have some issues left to talk about with the House. And this is one of those areas where, coincidentally, there's probably a lot of House interest and just enough said with that.	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 111 112 1213 1314 145 115 116 117 118 119 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County, more so, and we felt that there was more commonality of interest between the Lee High Acres' interior area of Lee County and the Immokalee interior area of Collier County. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: There was some testimony, Senator Campbell, on that issue by a pastor from Immokalee who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we want to make sure that we have some issues left to talk about with the House. And this is one of those areas where, coincidentally, there's probably a lot of House interest and just enough said with that. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Senator.	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 14 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County, more so, and we felt that there was more commonality of interest between the Lee High Acres' interior area of Lee County and the Immokalee interior area of Collier County. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: There was some testimony, Senator	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we want to make sure that we have some issues left to talk about with the House. And this is one of those areas where, coincidentally, there's probably a lot of House interest and just enough said with that. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Senator. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Dawson, you are recognized	Page 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	down there, but I think a lot of people from Miami-Dade County have a lot of different things than the folks up in Collier County. So, I just if we use those criterias, I'm just pointing out in my opinion, it is a humble opinion, that you failed as far as Senate District or Congressional District 24. But that's humble. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, in my equally and perhaps even more so humbly opinion, you know, when you are dealing with where population centers are, if we would have, for instance, connected Broward and Collier together and left Miami-Dade out, we would have had 279,000 extra people who didn't connect with their district somewhere else. So, you have to take the people and keep the districts contiguous. We, in Collier County, if we had kept all of Collier County in the coastal district, we would have had to cut into Lee County, the coastal area of Lee County, more so, and we felt that there was more commonality of interest between the Lee High Acres' interior area of Lee County and the Immokalee interior area of Collier County. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: There was some testimony, Senator Campbell, on that issue by a pastor from Immokalee who said, in his words, There are three states here. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	represent all those different interests in all those different communities. I just think that maybe, perhaps, somewhere down the line as we get closer to finalizing this that we need to take a second look at this, and maybe, perhaps and I know it was a difficult task, but maybe take a second look and see how we can keep the communities of interest constant, whole and try to do the best that we can to create districts that where people who think the same and want the same things are together. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator, as you and I as you will recall, you and I did look at some portions of this district and you made some suggestions that I did take heed of. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Absolutely. SENATOR LATVALA: And you are also aware that, you know, we have a conference process with the House, and we want to make sure that we have some issues left to talk about with the House. And this is one of those areas where, coincidentally, there's probably a lot of House interest and just enough said with that. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Senator.	

	Page 30			Page 32
1	SENATOR DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm down on	1	Congresspeople as it because of the way that that has to	
2	this end, so I'm not hearing very well sometimes, but I	2	enter the district.	
3	happen to personally know that one of the Congresspersons	3	So, I wanted to ask Senator Latvala to explain to me	
4	who actually does represent whose district represents	4	that. Because it appears if you were to do a district that	
5	part of Dade, Broward, Collier, Hendry and all of those,	5	had that did Baker County, did Baker, Columbia, Union,	
6	Belle Glade and all that, that there was also testimony	6	Bradford, Clay, Putnam, Marion, and the Duval County area, which is currently represented by Congressman Stearns I	
7 8	from, I guess, the other Florida that indicated that they had no problems with the representation and although	7 8	think, that you would have a district there and then	
9	perhaps they don't always have everything in common with	9	Alachua, Gilchrist, Dixie, Levy, Citrus, Hernando and	
10	Broward or the parts of Dade, but that they certainly	10	Pasco, which are communities similar communities, would	
11	agreed and spoke time and time again in defense of the	11	also have a district there.	
12	missing Congresspersons that they like their representation	12	So, that's my concern, that the district will now go	
13	the way it was.	13	from Marion County to Nassau County.	
14	So, I think to the in defense of the	14	SENATOR LATVALA: Senator, we have a we don't have	
15	Congresspersons who may not be here and to individuals who	15	a lot of difference in Nassau County, the for instance,	
16	may think that it may not be appropriate, there are some of	16	from the amendment that I believe you are the co-sponsor	
17	us who believe that there are ties and that it is working	17	of. You take a district from Suwannee and Marion Counties	
18	just fine. So, some folks may have heard one thing at the	18	all the way to the ocean there and have Fernandina Beach	
19	hearing and some folks probably heard another.	19	and Amelia Island in it, at least in our plan, we do not	
20	Thanks, Mr. Chairman.	20	the committee plan, we do not have the Amelia Island	
21	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions?	21	retiree and tourist area in with the agricultural area,	
22	SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman?	22	which is the way, I believe, your amendment does.	
23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized.	23	So, in terms I mean, we did have that as one of the	
24	SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.	24	things that we looked at. We had the interior part of	
25	Chairman, I want to go back to the north Florida area where	25	Nassau County, which is more agriculturally oriented, in	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
,	Page 31			Page 33
1	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval	1	with the other agriculture counties, but we did not include	Page 33
2	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I	2	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in	Page 33
2 3	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And	2 3	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district.	Page 33
2 3 4	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3,	2 3 4	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what	Page 33
2 3 4 5	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district.	2 3 4 5	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns	2 3 4 5 6	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those	2 3 4 5 6 7	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever,	2 3 4 5 6	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy, Columbia, Marion, Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do know enough about it to know that there's a whole lot of	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy, Columbia, Marion, Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties. And the reason I raise that issue is because it makes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural — and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do know enough about it to know that there's a whole lot of difference between Yulee and Hilliard and Amelia Island,	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy, Columbia, Marion, Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties. And the reason I raise that issue is because it makes a difference as to how Congressional District 3 goes into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural — and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do know enough about it to know that there's a whole lot of difference between Yulee and Hilliard and Amelia Island, okay, in terms of the interests of the people, their	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy, Columbia, Marion, Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties. And the reason I raise that issue is because it makes a difference as to how Congressional District 3 goes into the Volusia County area, which is a concern of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural — and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do know enough about it to know that there's a whole lot of difference between Yulee and Hilliard and Amelia Island, okay, in terms of the interests of the people, their backgrounds, there's a lot more Florida natives live in	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy, Columbia, Marion, Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties. And the reason I raise that issue is because it makes a difference as to how Congressional District 3 goes into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural — and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do know enough about it to know that there's a whole lot of difference between Yulee and Hilliard and Amelia Island, okay, in terms of the interests of the people, their	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you are running Congressional District 5 into north Duval County and Nassau County and then running District 4, I think that's District 4, down into the Daytona area. And those two districts wrap around Congressional District 3, which is, has been designated as the minority district. Now, in north Duval County, Nassau County, St. Johns County, and going down the coast with the beaches, those are tourists' kinds of states, cities, or whatever, counties, and when you add that to Baker, Union, Bradford, Alachua, Marion, and Levy Counties, then you are getting into the open rural counties in terms of communities of common interests. I don't know why the Senate why the Congressional District 4 ran the way it did and just picks up Atlantic, Neptune, Jacksonville and Fernandina Beach and did not do the wraparound for Nassau County and the northern part of Duval County, but I want to register that that is a concern and I have a stack of letters from Senator Thurman in opposition to that, number one, and, secondly, that the testimony regarding this particular district was that the people wanted to keep, I think it was Alachua, Levy, Columbia, Marion, Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties. And the reason I raise that issue is because it makes a difference as to how Congressional District 3 goes into the Volusia County area, which is a concern of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Fernandina Beach, which is not agriculturally oriented in that district. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman, I don't know what agricultural interest is in Nassau County, and I have a home there, and so I'm kind of curious to know about their agricultural — and particularly, the agricultural interest in north Duval County where we are attempting now to put in malls and gated communities for Senator Wasserman-Schultz to come visit us. But I don't understand how you get those counties tied to Baker, Columbia, Union, Bradford and those counties to come up with a district and say that there are things that are common among those counties that would warrant them being put in the same district. I know that the numbers have to be there, but I think there's another way to get those numbers. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Holzendorf, you know, I obviously don't know as much about that as you do, although I used to live up in Jackson many years ago, but one of the things that stands out in my mind is that I do know enough about it to know that there's a whole lot of difference between Yulee and Hilliard and Amelia Island, okay, in terms of the interests of the people, their backgrounds, there's a lot more Florida natives live in	Page 33

.!!				
	Page 34		and a section of the	Page 36
1	those areas, a lot more retirees live on the Island.	1	notice on this map, we've kept most of Plant City and the	
2	I also know that in the western part of Duval County,	2	strawberry area, and so forth, in with Polk County, which,	
3	you get on 301, you hit a sign that says, Jacksonville city	3	you know, is currently in the district together and	
4	limits, Duval County, and you drive for another 20, 25	4	currently a very agriculturally oriented district.	
5	minutes before you see civilization. There's a lot of tree	5	We did add Sun City to that district which had	
6	farms out there, there's a lot of agriculture that you're	6	previously been in the Manatee County district, as opposed	
7 8	seeing in western Duval County and in western Nassau County	7 8	to trying to, you know, cut Hillsborough up into five	
9	when you land at the airport in Jacksonville. And those are the kinds of things we try to take into consideration	9	districts.	
10		10	So, we will continue to move forward and try to find	
11	here. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Come visit us again,	11	another way of doing it, but we this is an improvement over where we started.	
12	Mr. Chairman, and I will talk to you and show you that	12	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla.	
13	that's no longer in existence. But that is a concern. The	13	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just one more quick	
14	airport is still out there, we do have a lot of property	14	question for Senator Latvala. I was looking at the Osceola	
15	out there, but there's a lot of development out there. The	15	and Orange Counties of south Florida and it seems to me,	
16	agricultural interests — the only thing we really had out	16	there really isn't a Congressional district carved out for	
17	there was a dairy farm which is now has been sold and is	17	Speaker Feeney; is there any particular reason for that, or	
18	becoming a development.	18	what changes	
19	And Bradford County, Union County have the P farms.	19	SENATOR LATVALA: Do you have an amendment on that	
20	When I say "P farms," the prisons, and to tie that to	20	that you want to bring forward? There is a tremendous	
21	northern Duval County, it is just it just doesn't make	21	population growth in two parts of the state, it's across	
22	sense.	22	the band in central Florida from west to east and in south	
23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Lee.	23	Florida.	
24	SENATOR LEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like to	24	You know, south Florida gets pretty skinny when you	
25	look at the area in eastern Hillsborough County south of	25	get down to the bottom, there's not a lot of extra places	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 35			Page 37
1	I-4 if I could real quick, sort of blow that up. And	1	to put the new district. In central Florida, you know, it	
2	the first, the map today is, that we are looking at, I	_	111 1 4 21 5 111 1 4	
3		2	could have been on the east side, it could have been on the	
	want to say is an improvement over the '96 plan in terms of	3	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on	
4	that community of interest, a considerable improvement.	3 4	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side.	
5	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it	3 4 5	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up?	
5 6	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not	3 4 5 6	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions?	
5 6 7	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be	3 4 5 6 7	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up.	
5 6 7 8	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size,	3 4 5 6 7 8	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up.	
5 6 7 8 9	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue	
5 6 7 8 9 10	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population,	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of the area south of I-4 in southern Hillsborough County to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to fight for Hispanic empowerment and inclusion in this	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of the area south of I-4 in southern Hillsborough County to see if there's maybe another way to consolidate that a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to fight for Hispanic empowerment and inclusion in this process and I know you are sensitive to that, we have	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of the area south of I-4 in southern Hillsborough County to see if there's maybe another way to consolidate that a little bit as we go forward.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to fight for Hispanic empowerment and inclusion in this process and I know you are sensitive to that, we have talked about this before, but I just wanted the record to	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of the area south of I-4 in southern Hillsborough County to see if there's maybe another way to consolidate that a little bit as we go forward. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Lee, that's one of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those — during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to fight for Hispanic empowerment and inclusion in this process and I know you are sensitive to that, we have talked about this before, but I just wanted the record to reflect that.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of the area south of I-4 in southern Hillsborough County to see if there's maybe another way to consolidate that a little bit as we go forward. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Lee, that's one of the changes you know I made from the very first proposed map	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to fight for Hispanic empowerment and inclusion in this process and I know you are sensitive to that, we have talked about this before, but I just wanted the record to reflect that. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes, sir. Thank you.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that community of interest, a considerable improvement. I have looked at the House of Representatives' map, it has carved that whole area up quite a bit. There's not going to be an opportunity for someone from that area to be elected to Congress simply because of the population size, that's really not the issue, I want to make that perfectly clear. It's got good representation in the Senate in terms of being able to elect its own. But when you look at who they elected, maybe it's a good thing they can't elect people to Congress and the House of Representatives from eastern Hillsborough County. But the question I guess I have is, and I have talked to Senator Latvala about this before. As we go into conference, I presume there will be additional opportunities to take a look at how we address the issue of the area south of I-4 in southern Hillsborough County to see if there's maybe another way to consolidate that a little bit as we go forward. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Senator Lee, that's one of the changes you know I made from the very first proposed map that we put up was when you raised some concerns about the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	west side, it was the subcommittee's desire to put it on the west side. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: For a follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Just a follow up. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for follow up. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I said it kind of tongue in cheek but those areas have a large Hispanic population, both of the new districts will potentially have large Hispanic populations if you draw it a certain way, and from my perspective, I think it's very important that we do everything in our power to ensure that Hispanics are properly represented today in Congress and have an opportunity at least to participate in the process and have a say in those during that election cycle. I just wanted the record to reflect that we need to fight for Hispanic empowerment and inclusion in this process and I know you are sensitive to that, we have talked about this before, but I just wanted the record to reflect that. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further questions? Okay. The	

Page 38 Page 40 1 Jones. And that amendment is under Tab 4. 1 This was done with virtually all the districts and 2 By the way, if anyone is interested in the real text 2 there are probably two exceptions with respect to 3 of these amendments or bills, it's in this blue book down 3 incumbents and I'll explain those when we get to them. 4 4 here. If you like reading numbers, it's available to So, therefore, in terms of the principle of 5 anyone on the committee who would like to look at them. 5 incumbency, I think we do a better job of making that 6 6 Senator Geller. happen. The third principle respects political boundaries 7 SENATOR GELLER: You said, if we want to see the real 7 in subdivisions and communities of interest. And what you 8 text that's available. You mean that this is a phony text 8 will find is that we did a, I think, a better job of making 9 9 sure that counties were kept whole and communities of that we have been working off of all along? 10 CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: No, it's just a more understandable 10 interest that testified at public hearings were kept 11 text. Mr. Jones, you're recognized. 11 together. 12 SENATOR JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members, I'm 12 And, finally, the fourth and final principle is that 13 going to speak from the podium here so that I can also get 13 this map reflects very strongly the public testimony that 14 a good look at the map and take advantage of some other 14 we received in the public hearings. 15 things here with John to make sure that we are looking at 15 Now, part two, talking about the minority access 16 the right things. 16 seats. As you know, there are some specific, extraordinary 17 John's going to bring up basically three maps. We are 17 considerations associated with the minority access 18 18 going to take a look on the left-hand side, the amendment districts, not only the African-Americans, which are the 19 19 that I'm proposing right now. In the middle you'll see the only ones that have been discussed thus far, but also with the Hispanic seats, that's also very important, the same 20 amendment that's being proposed by Senator Latvala and on 20 21 the right you will see the current 1996 Congressional map 2.1 criteria applies. 22 that they are using. 22 So, with respect to Corrine Brown's seat, if you were 23 23 My presentation today will be divided up into four to compare that seat, District 3, with the one that's being 24 areas. First, I'll talk about the goals and principles 24 offered by Senator Latvala as well, they are virtually the 25 that guide the map that's before you now. Second, we'll 25 same with respect to performance. Basically, 60-plus DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 Page 39 Page 41 percent performance for Gore. They are both very highly talk about the minority access seats. Third, what's 1 2 2 happening with all of the incumbents in this particular Democratic, 63 percent Democratic, and they both have a 3 3 amendment and then, finally, we'll talk about the new voting age population of about the same. The one that I'm 4 4 proposing has a voting age population, black population, of 5 5 First of all, I think it's important that we have 46 and a half percent, and Senator Latvala's has 47.8 6 principles and guidelines that basically guide us in 6 percent voting age population, so they are virtually the 7 developing these maps. Senator Latvala talked about, you 7 same with respect to performance and population. 8 8 Senator Meek -- Congresswoman Meek's district down in know, grabbing X number of people and putting them in a 9 district and moving on and I think that that's okay, but 9 Dade County, District 17, is less than a percentage point 10 10 there are some very important things which I'm sure he's difference between the one that's being offered by Senator 11 aware of that we need to do. 11 Latvala versus the one that we are offering. Virtually the 12 First of all, you can't have significant deviations in 12 13 population in these maps. And certainly in this one, there 13 In District 23 for Congressman Hastings, very close to 14 are eight districts out of the 25 that don't have the 14 being the same district with respect to geographics as well 15 15 perfect level population, four of them one person more, as populations and performance, with the exception that the four of them have one person less. That's about as good as 16 16 one that we are presenting here, in terms of black voting 17 17 age population, there's 52.5 percent versus the one that 18 Where population shifts are necessary because there 18 Senator Latvala is offering, which is 47.7 percent. 19 19 were too many people in an old district or too few people So, if there's any regression at all among black 20 20 districts, it appears in Congressman Hastings' district as in an old district, we sought to minimize the disruptions 21 21 as compared to the '96 map and we strived to make sure that being presented by Senator Latvala. 22 22 the maps that's offered to you on the left closely resemble With respect to the Hispanic seats, I found this to be 23 23 the map that's offered on the right as much as possible so very interesting in comparing the two. With respect to the 24 24 that the same incumbents are representing the same people Hispanic seats, Congressman Diaz-Balart in 21, very safe in 25 when the map is done to the maximum extent possible. 25 both districts, in both versions. Basically, sitting DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675

Page 42 1 around 62 percent Bush, and in the one that we are 2 presenting versus 66 percent Bush, and the one that Senator 3 Latvala represented, both of the 52, 54 Republican 4 registration in the respective districts, and 78.5 percent 5 Hispanic voting age population versus 80 percent voting age 6 population that's Hispanic. 6 But the real one that was interesting to me in the 8 comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana 9 Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 10 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 11 that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 12 62 and a half percent in the district that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population of Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably — I 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic probably— I 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	vn e e nter
2 With respect to Mica, District 7, he may have some 3 Latvala represented, both of the 52, 54 Republican 3 concerns about the geography, but basically, in terms of 4 registration in the respective districts, and 78.5 percent 5 Hispanic voting age population versus 80 percent voting age 6 population that's Hispanic. 6 Keller, we run about 5 points better for Republicans in 7 But the real one that was interesting to me in the 8 comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana 9 Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 10 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in 11 that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 12 62 and a half percent in the district that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 19 Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 With respect to Mica, District 7, percent performance; they are exactly the same exactly the same sprayers and concerns about the geography, but basically, in terms of performance, they are exactly the same exactly the same pare exactly the same has one to that district than the one that's district than the one that's district than the one that's district, in that we are in the district than the one that was interesting to me in the 14 Unrently Congressman Keller's district is largely in the amendment that I'm proposing. A that a Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 17 Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may get some opposition in	vn e e nter
3 Latvala represented, both of the 52, 54 Republican 4 registration in the respective districts, and 78.5 percent 5 Hispanic voting age population versus 80 percent voting age 6 population that's Hispanic. 6 Keller, we run about 5 points better for Republicans in 7 But the real one that was interesting to me in the 8 comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana 9 Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 10 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in 11 that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 12 62 and a half percent in the district that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 19 Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic mount of the district that we a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 27 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	vn e e nter
registration in the respective districts, and 78.5 percent Hispanic voting age population versus 80 percent voting age population that's Hispanic. But the real one that was interesting to me in the comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in resenting. He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, significant retrogression in the committee amendment here that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And Latvala's district. Dispanic Non Mater and I'm proposing. At think that a Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I are represented. Figure 12. Performance, they are exactly the same. In District No. 8 with Rick Keller, Congressman Keller, we run about 5 points better for Republicans in that district than the one that's drawn by Senator Latvala as in terms of performance; however that district that's draw in terms of performance; however that district that's draw in terms of performance; however that district that's draw in terms of performance; however that district that's draw in terms of performance; however that district that's draw in terms of performance, they are un about 5 points better for Republicans in Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in the amendment that I'm presenting actually includes parts of Lake and Surformance of the plan that we are presenting. 13 presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an important one for people to look at, it is not totally in important one for people to look at, it is not totally in 14 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 15 Orange County, District 5	vn e e nter
Hispanic voting age population versus 80 percent voting age population that's Hispanic. But the real one that was interesting to me in the comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 In the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the geography substantially. Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the geography substantially. Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 11 Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in that one and I'm not sure why except that the are 12 Presenting. He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 14 Has Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 15 Torange County, District 5 actually includes parts of Lake and Sur that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 17 Tour plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 18 But seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator 19 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably - I 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. Au think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 24 He's running about 57 percent performance in the district should be a legitimate 25 Has we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about 4 that we	vn e e nter
population that's Hispanic. But the real one that was interesting to me in the comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at for presenting. Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in Currently Congressman Keller's district in Currently Congressman Keller's	vn e e nter
But the real one that was interesting to me in the comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in Orange County, and District 5 in the plan that we are 12 Orange C	vn e e nter
8 comparison was the one, the comparison for Ileana 9 Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 9 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in 10 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in 11 that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 12 62 and a half percent in the district that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 19 Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably — I 22 Thomas August 19 percent performance; however that district that's draw in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the geography substantially. 10 Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in Currently Counties is largely in Currently Counties is largely in Currently Counties are presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an important one for people to look at, it is not totally in important one for people to look at, it is not totally in important one for people to look at, it is not totally in important one for people to look at, it is not totally in important one for people to look at, it is not totally in Currently Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may get some opposition in the Republican party in a pr	vn e e nter
Ros-Lehtinen's district, District 18. We are about 9 points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 11 Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in 12 62 and a half percent in the district that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 19 Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 22 think that a Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 29 in the amendment that I'm presenting actually changes the geography substantially. 20 Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in 21 Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in 22 In District 5 in the plan that we are 23 presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 in the amendment that I'm presenting. 27 in the amendment that I'm presenting. 28 in the amendment that I'm presenting. 29 in the amendment that I'm presenting. 20 In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 21 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 22 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At the's running about 57 percent performance in the district 29 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	e e nter
points better for her than Senator Latvala's district in that one and I'm not sure why except that Bush performs at 11 Currently Congressman Keller's district is largely in 12 62 and a half percent in the district that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 19 Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably — I 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	e nter y
presenting. 12 Orange County and District 5 in the plan that we are 13 presenting. 14 He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one 15 that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, 16 significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 17 that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in 18 our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 19 Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 12 Orange County and District 5 in the plan that we are presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an important one for people to look at, it is not totally in a primary in presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an important one for people to look at, it is not totally in a primary orange county, District 5 actually includes parts of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may get some opposition in the Republican party in a primary that particular district. 20 In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 21 that particular district that I'm proposing. At think that a Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At the's running about 57 percent performance in the district should here a legitimate that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	nter y
presenting. He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, significant retrogression in the committee amendment here that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has presenting, if you could zero in on No. 5 there, that's an important one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at, it is not totally in limportant one for people to look at the induction of the data and sumter of the lake and sumter	nter y
He only performs at 53 and a half percent, and the one that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, significant retrogression in the committee amendment here that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 14 important one for people to look at, it is not totally in Drange County, District 5 actually includes parts of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sum 16 and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sum 17 Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may 18 get some opposition in the Republican party in a primary 19 that particular district. 20 In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, 21 virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	nter y
that Senator Latvala is offering, and there's, I think, significant retrogression in the committee amendment here that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has orange County, District 5 actually includes parts of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sum to and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sum	nter y
significant retrogression in the committee amendment here 16 and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may cour plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for 18 get some opposition in the Republican party in a primary that particular district. 20 So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 21 the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 16 and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties as well or most of Lake and Sumter Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may get some opposition in the Republican party in a primary that particular district. 20 In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 21 the same, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	nter y
that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I that Senator Latvala is offering in that what we have in Counties. Still a very strong Republican seat, but he may get some opposition in the Republican party in a primary that particular district. In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 21 virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I Think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate the's running about 57 percent performance in the district shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	y
our plan is 73.7 percent voting age population for Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic no matter what, but I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I he's running about 57 percent performance in the district shout in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has latvala's district particular seat that Senator Latvala has that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	
Hispanics, and whereas he has only 63 percent. So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate shot in that particular district. In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 22 11. Putnam, we do about 3 points better for Putnam in Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate the's running about 57 percent performance in the district that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	in
So, there's only a 10 percent difference there. And 20 In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator 21 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 22 Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 20 In District 9, Bilirakis, virtually the same Young, virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 22 11. Putnam, we do about 3 points better for Putnam in 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	
the seat is competitive when it comes down to it in Senator Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 21 virtually the same. Davis, virtually the same in 9, 10 and 22 11. Putnam, we do about 3 points better for Putnam in 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. As think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 24 he's running about 57 percent performance in the district 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	
Latvala's district. Democratic Hispanic probably I 22 11. Putnam, we do about 3 points better for Putnam in 23 mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 11. Putnam, we do about 3 points better for Putnam in 27 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. As 28 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 29 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	
mean, you still elect a Hispanic no matter what, but I 23 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 24 think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 28 Republican numbers in the district that I'm proposing. At the 's running about 57 percent performance in the district that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	
think that a Democratic Hispanic would have a legitimate 24 he's running about 57 percent performance in the district 25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 26 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	
25 shot in that particular seat that Senator Latvala has 25 that we are proposing here for Putnam and it's about	ad
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (8:	
	50) 488-9675
Page 43 1 presented. 1 53 percent in that one, so it may not make a difference,	Page 45
2 And so, it doesn't work as well for the incumbent as 2 but it's just a few points better.	
3 the one that we are presenting. So, those are the minority 3 District No. 13 for us is comparable to the one that	
4 seats. 4 Dan Miller is leaving, is comparable to District 14 that	
5 Now, with respect to the incumbents, before we get 5 Senator Latvala is offering. So, it's not 13 and 13, it's	
6 into the new seats, lots of similarities between the two 6 actually 13 and 14, and they are virtually the same with	
7 plans, very little difference. And I'll just run through 7 respect to performance.	
8 them real quick. District 1, represented by Jeff Miller, 8 In No. 14, where Porter Goss, Congressman Goss is	.
9 virtually the same. Two, that is represented by 9 that's actually 14 for us and 25 in Senator Latvala's	
10 Congressman Boyd, virtually the same in performance and 10 district. It runs about the same in terms of the	
geography. 11 performance; however, the geography is a little bit	
12 I have already talked about Brown. Crenshaw in No. 4, 12 different. Senator Latvala concentrated on the west coar	st
virtually the same. Number 5, you have got a difference 13 and we brought it out just a little bit.	
between the district that we offer for Congresswoman Karen 14 Fifteen, Congressman Weldon, virtually the same	
Thurman and the one that's being offered by Senator 15 performance. Sixteen, Foley, basically the same with	
16 Latvala. 16 performance, by within a percentage point. I have already	
We seek to protect the incumbent and in his talked about Meek and Ros-Lehtinen. Wexler, we run a	
18 district his presentation, the incumbent is not 18 points better for Wexler, but it doesn't make a difference	:
protected in that particular district. What we have here 19 because his numbers are so high already, so it just really	
20 is a district that performs at above 50 percent for Al Gore 20 doesn't make a difference for him.	
21 and, of course, Senator Latvala's performance at about 21 And then for 20 and Deutsch, basically the same, about	
55 percent for Bush in the one he drew for Karen Thurman. 22 3 points difference, but without making a difference. And	1
So, and in the terms of so, we are better for the 23 as well as as here's one that would probably generate 24 percent them. With represent to No. 6. Cliff Stronge.	
Democrat there. With respect to No. 6, Cliff Stearns, 24 some interest. In District 22 for E. Clay Shaw, this	
25 Congressman Stearns, we run about 4 points better for Cliff 25 district, the one that was drawn by Latvala, this is one DIVISION OF A DMINISTRATIVE HEADINGS (950) 498 0675	50) 400 0675
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (85	10) 400-70/3
DIVIDING OF TERMINOTORING (000) 100 7010	

	Page 46			Page 48
1	case in which his district performs better for Republicans	1	to Key West. This one goes from Highlands County to Key	- u ₅ c +c
2	than ours does. And basically, he runs about 6 points	2	West. I'm talking big miles and I'm telling you I'm not	
3	better because of the geography that he has in the district	3	sure if the folks you know, great folks in Highlands	
4	on the far right for Representative Shaw.	4	County, but I don't know that they have a lot in common	
5	The one that we have here makes it a more competitive	5	with Key West. And I'm not even sure the people in Key	
6	seat. It performs 59 percent for Gore. The one that	6	West know where Highlands County is.	
7	Senator Latvala drew performed 53 percent for Gore, so it's	7	And that surely demonstrates that there are multiple	
8	about a 6-point difference there.	8	communities of interest in that particular seat, so I'll	
9	And that takes care of the incumbents. So, overall,	9	give you the performance numbers, still fairly close to	
10	considering the incumbents, here's what you have. I think	10	52 percent Bush, 46 percent Gore, 41 percent registered	
11	that the map that we are presenting here does a much better	11	Republicans, 38 percent registered Democrats, 21 percent	
12	job with two exceptions of protecting the incumbents. It	12	Independent, which was kind of interesting.	
13	does a better job of protecting Cliff Stearns, a better job	13	And it I would say that it probably leans toward	
14	protecting Congressman Putnam, a much better job securing	14	Republicans. Forty-two percent of that district is in Dade	
15	the seat for Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, which I think will be a	15	County in terms of population, and so while the one that we	
16	very competitive seat.	16	are offering kind of leans Democratic, is still	
17	A good job for Diaz-Balart. And then probably not as	17	competitive, and that one leans Republican that Senator	
18	good a job for, in terms of Republicans, Keller and Shaw.	18	Latvala is offering. So, that's one new district.	
19	But in terms of Democrats, it does a much better job in	19	But the other new district that we are proposing	
20	protecting Thurman which is not protected at all, I think,	20	really doesn't have anything to compare to. The one that	
21	under the Latvala plan. And does a slightly better job for	21	Senator Latvala proposes, District 13, which basically is	
22	Wexler. So, that's what it does for the incumbents.	22	in the south central Florida. The one that we are	
23	The final point are the new districts. It's easiest	23	proposing is 24, and it includes parts of Orange, Osceola	
24	to compare, I think you know, our new districts are	24	and Polk Counties, if you can bring that one up, I would	
25	Districts 24 and 25. I think you can compare our 25 to	25	appreciate it. You don't need to compare it to anything.	
23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 47			Page 49
1				Ü
	Senator Latvala's 24 because they both take into account	1	But what that one does is, our other new district,	Z.
2	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much	2	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic	
3	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our	2 3	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of	
3 4	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an	2 3 4	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat.	
3 4 5	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and	2 3 4 5	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican	
3 4 5 6	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen.	2 3 4 5 6	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican	
3 4 5 6 7	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that	2 3 4 5 6 7	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of	
3 4 5 6 7 8	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right which Senator Latvala is proposing, that the district	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR KLEIN: Mr. Chairman?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right which Senator Latvala is proposing, that the district stretches from Highlands County I'm sorry, not on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR KLEIN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right which Senator Latvala is proposing, that the district stretches from Highlands County I'm sorry, not on the right, in the middle, the one in the middle that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR KLEIN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized for a question.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right which Senator Latvala is proposing, that the district stretches from Highlands County I'm sorry, not on the right, in the middle, the one in the middle that district stretches from Highlands County down to Key West.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR KLEIN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The central	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right which Senator Latvala is proposing, that the district stretches from Highlands County — I'm sorry, not on the right, in the middle, the one in the middle — that district stretches from Highlands County down to Key West. Now, you know, Peter Deutsche right now has the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And — but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR KLEIN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The central Florida seat you were just talking about, what — does that	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the central agricultural areas that we've heard so much testimony about. As you know, people when we had our Wauchula hearing talked a lot about having an agricultural-type district in south central Florida and really wanted to make that happen. We got two different versions of that. The one that we presented on the left includes, you know, from Highlands down to Broward County basically, a large district, expansive, but as compact as you can put it with the sparsity of populations that you have in these areas. That district performs pretty even. Actually, it was 46 and a half for Bush and 51 for Gore. It's got an edge on Democratic registration, 45 to 38, it's not a minority access seat because it only has about a 15 and a half percent Hispanic voting age population. And in the end, basically, it's a tossup district, although it will probably lean Democrat. When you compare that one to the one on the far right which Senator Latvala is proposing, that the district stretches from Highlands County I'm sorry, not on the right, in the middle, the one in the middle that district stretches from Highlands County down to Key West.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what it does is it creates an opportunity for a Hispanic access seat in South Florida. The numbers are kind of small and it is basically a tossup seat. I couldn't tell you if it was going to go Republican or Democrat because basically, it has a Republican registration of 40 percent, and a Democrat registration of 39, 49 Bush, 49 Gore. And but the Hispanic population is 22 percent of the voting age population, and so it could be a minority access seat. And, again, it doesn't compare with anything else that's in the other plan but that is the other new seat. And so, Mr. Chairman, those are my comments for today with respect to our amendment. I hope that I brought out some points that will be beneficial to even the current plan that's being offered by Senator Latvala because I think there's some things to take note there that could help improve either one of our plans. And I stand ready for questions, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR KLEIN: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The central	

	Page 50			Page 52
1	SENATOR JONES: Not of major significance. Let me	1	and I have represented some part of Indian River County for	-
2	tell you real quick. It is the African-American	2	ten years. And I know, despite the desires of my good	
3	population in District 25, non-Hispanic black, is only 7	3	friend Mark Foley, to want to give a little bit of	
4	and a half percent.	4	territory in Indian River County, the hue and cry of the	
5	SENATOR KLEIN: Okay. And the Hispanic population,	5	residents of Indian River County has never been louder on	
6	you said is 22 percent, somewhere in that area?	6	any issue that I've represented them in the ten years.	
7	SENATOR JONES: The total Hispanic population hold	7	And they said, We want to be in one district, and that	
8	on a second.	8	district is 50, we identify with Brevard County for a	
9	SENATOR MILLER: Senator Jones, I think you are	9	litany of reasons, we have a resolution from the county	
10	looking at the wrong map. You're looking at 25, you should	10	commission from every city council, from dozens of	
11	be looking at 24.	11	citizens, and then I look on your map here, and if I read	
12	SENATOR JONES: I should be looking at 24. That's	12	it correctly, they just have like one little drop in	
13	right. Hold on a second. The black population in that	13	Brevard County.	
14	district is 8.4 percent and the Hispanic population is	14	SENATOR JONES: Right.	
15	21.7.	15	SENATOR POSEY: Three-quarters of the county is in an	
16	SENATOR KLEIN: Okay. So, about 22 percent. Does	16	area that's 46 percent Democrat, 39 percent Republican, but	
17	that take into account we all know there's been a	17	the biggest insult and disenfranchises that I have ever	
18	significant growth of Hispanic population in central	18	seen is the majority of the voters in there, and by the	
19	Florida, does that seat then become sort of the centerpoint	19	way, I don't think that the dogcatcher is a Democrat in	
20	for Hispanic population?	20	Indian River County, I don't think there's a single	
21	SENATOR JONES: Yes, it becomes a potential Hispanic	21	non-Republican elected in that county. I may be wrong but	
22	access seat.	22	I don't think there is.	
23	SENATOR KLEIN: So, it sort of consolidates the	23	But now I see that the majority of their population is	
24	Hispanic population in that area to possibly elect a	24	getting thrown into a district with 73 percent Democratic	
25	Hispanic?	25	registration and only 15 percent Republican registration	
25			• • • •	
25	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	Page 53
1	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida,	1	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any	Page 53
	Page 51	1 2		Page 53
1	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida,		and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any	Page 53
1 2	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a	2	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you	Page 53
1 2 3	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a	2 3	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of	Page 53
1 2 3 4	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to	2 3 4	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes.	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that	2 3 4 5	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the	2 3 4 5 6	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration?	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from	2 3 4 5 6 7	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it,	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's — that would be Mark Foley's district.	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16?	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16.	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's — that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted,	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad.	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because they all seem very, very commendable to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad. SENATOR JONES: Yeah, basically, you've got that	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because they all seem very, very commendable to me. And I didn't weigh in on the discussions of any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad. SENATOR JONES: Yeah, basically, you've got that divided you're right, though, and I heard the testimony	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because they all seem very, very commendable to me. And I didn't weigh in on the discussions of any of the other districts because I'm not intimately familiar with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad. SENATOR JONES: Yeah, basically, you've got that divided you're right, though, and I heard the testimony earlier today, but those three districts are 15, 16 and 25,	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because they all seem very, very commendable to me. And I didn't weigh in on the discussions of any of the other districts because I'm not intimately familiar with the territories, I didn't attend the hearings in all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad. SENATOR JONES: Yeah, basically, you've got that divided you're right, though, and I heard the testimony earlier today, but those three districts are 15, 16 and 25, and, of course, 25 is the new one, but 15 and 16 are Dave	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because they all seem very, very commendable to me. And I didn't weigh in on the discussions of any of the other districts because I'm not intimately familiar with the territories, I didn't attend the hearings in all the areas of the state like some people did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's — that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad. SENATOR JONES: Yeah, basically, you've got that divided — you're right, though, and I heard the testimony earlier today, but those three districts are 15, 16 and 25, and, of course, 25 is the new one, but 15 and 16 are Dave Weldon and Mark Foley.	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 51 SENATOR JONES: Yes. What you will find in Florida, which is kind of interesting, is that whenever you draw a district, with only two exceptions in the state, that has a minority population of 22 percent or above, it tends to elect that minority. And the only two exceptions to that are the seats that are currently held by Buddy Dyer and the seat that's currently held by the House member from Pensacola, Benson, Holly Benson. Those are the only two exceptions to that. So, it shows how progressive Florida can be. There are a lot of places where you could have much higher minority populations and not elect one in other states of the country. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Posey, you are recognized for a question. SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senator Jones, I just want to compliment you on the goals and the principles that you started out to work this out on because they all seem very, very commendable to me. And I didn't weigh in on the discussions of any of the other districts because I'm not intimately familiar with the territories, I didn't attend the hearings in all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and if you can find a bigger disenfranchisement in any appropriations' map, I would greatly appreciate it if you would point it out to me that they're the worst case of this I have ever seen if this map passes. SENATOR JONES: Which district has 73 percent Democratic registration? SENATOR POSEY: The way I read it, the one below it, the yellow one. I don't have my reading glasses on so I can't tell. SENATOR JONES: No, no, no. That's that would be Mark Foley's district. SENATOR POSEY: Is it 16? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, 16. SENATOR POSEY: Well, Mark is not that bad, maybe I mistook that for 18 and it wouldn't be so bad. So, instead of only getting put into one district like they wanted, they are only getting put into three districts, but none of them are quite that bad. SENATOR JONES: Yeah, basically, you've got that divided you're right, though, and I heard the testimony earlier today, but those three districts are 15, 16 and 25, and, of course, 25 is the new one, but 15 and 16 are Dave	Page 53

	Page 54			Page 56
1	district now.	1	SENATOR JONES: That's a new district, but as I said	
2	SENATOR JONES: Well, one's a maybe because it's a	2	before, there's no plan that puts them all in one district	
3	close call, the 25, the one that is District 25, the gray	3	right now, but we're all going to work toward it.	
4	area, if you are looking at that book, the gray area is a	4	SENATOR POSEY: Well, we've got 80 percent of them in	
5	new district that has no incumbent at all. And that	5	and we have a commitment from the committee Chairman that	
6	district is kind of a tossup with maybe a slight lean to a	6	we are going to move that line down in the process with the	
7	Democrat depending on who's running.	7	House and it would be moving an awful lot of lines around	
8	SENATOR POSEY: Okay. Well, 15 under your plan would	8	to ever get them in one under this plan as I see it now.	
9	be even a closer tossup than that one, wouldn't it?	9	SENATOR JONES: Maybe that's how it works, you just	
10	SENATOR JONES: Fifty?	10	work through the process together.	
11	SENATOR POSEY: That's a 7-point spread favor in	11	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Okay. Senator Diaz de la Portilla,	
12	Democrats and that you call that a tossup on 25 and it's	12	you're recognized for questions.	
13	only a 5-point spread favoring the Republican on 15. I	13	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
14	thought so, that makes it worse, yes, that's even more	14	I apologize, Senator Jones, I had to step outside for a	
15	insult to more injury.	15	phone call but when I walked in, I heard you talking about	
16	SENATOR JONES: That's 52 percent Gore and 46 percent	16	Congressional seat 25. I heard you say 22 percent of the	
17	Bush, that's why I said that, and but I understand what	17	registered of the voting age population were Hispanic in	
18	you are saying. But even Latvala's, Senator Latvala's	18	that district.	
19	district, divides into two Congressional seats and we I	19	SENATOR JONES: No, that wasn't well, yes, that was	
20	think that there's a consensus that they all need to be in	20	25. Twenty-five is in Orlando and Polk, yeah, the 24.	
21	one district.	21	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: What are the	
22	SENATOR POSEY: Senator Latvala has pretty much	22	registration figures in Congressional seat 25 in your plan?	
23	committed and I hope the big Chair will too, that we are	23	SENATOR JONES: Okay. You are concerned with the one	
24	going to move this line down there as we go through the	24	that's in south central Florida, correct?	
25	process and make things mesh a little bit better. But, you	25	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Correct.	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
1	Page 55 know when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did	1	SENATOR IONES: Okay. That's	Page 57
1 2	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did	1 2	SENATOR JONES: Okay. That's SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County I	Page 57
2	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to	2	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I	Page 57
2 3	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is	2 3	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola	Page 57
2 3 4	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian.	2 3 4	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said	Page 57
2	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the —	2 3	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at	2 3 4 5 6	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic?	Page 57
2 3 4 5	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would	2 3 4 5	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at	2 3 4 5 6 7	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic?	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic?	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic.	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about.	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just it is how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just — it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County.	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just — it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated. SENATOR POSEY: Well, they wanted it all in there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County. SENATOR JONES: Well, Osceola County is certainly in	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just — it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated. SENATOR POSEY: Well, they wanted it all in there, that's what I'm saying, it's so minor, it's like their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County. SENATOR JONES: Well, Osceola County is certainly in 24, and but it's split between 24 and 25.	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just — it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated. SENATOR POSEY: Well, they wanted it all in there, that's what I'm saying, it's so minor, it's like their request completely got disregarded, and instead of getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County. SENATOR JONES: Well, Osceola County is certainly in 24, and but it's split between 24 and 25. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Whatever the numbers	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just — it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated. SENATOR POSEY: Well, they wanted it all in there, that's what I'm saying, it's so minor, it's like their request completely got disregarded, and instead of getting put into Foley's district, which would have been the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County. SENATOR JONES: Well, Osceola County is certainly in 24, and but it's split between 24 and 25. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Whatever the numbers Mr. Chairman, for follow up?	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the — if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at — that's 25 — but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just — it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated. SENATOR POSEY: Well, they wanted it all in there, that's what I'm saying, it's so minor, it's like their request completely got disregarded, and instead of getting put into Foley's district, which would have been the next best thing for them, or the next worst, depends on how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County. SENATOR JONES: Well, Osceola County is certainly in 24, and but it's split between 24 and 25. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Whatever the numbers Mr. Chairman, for follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Both 24 and 25 include Osceola	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, when somebody is outspoken and is clear that they did not want to be broken up into more than one district, to not only cut them in half, but to slice them into thirds is just uncharacteristically mean-spirited and draconian. SENATOR JONES: I would tell you, Senator, that the if you take a look in the back of this thing and look at that's 25 but I would agree with you, that they would like probably to be together since we have heard there. It's about 13 percent as I see it. SENATOR POSEY: Jihad, yes. It's a jihad. Senator Wasserman-Schultz said this is a jihad, and we want that entered into the record. SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying now. The part that is in Brevard County with Dave Weldon's district is a very, very small sliver, it is only just it is how many, 1200 people? How many people? Two thousand people, that's all it is. So, that can certainly be accommodated. SENATOR POSEY: Well, they wanted it all in there, that's what I'm saying, it's so minor, it's like their request completely got disregarded, and instead of getting put into Foley's district, which would have been the next best thing for them, or the next worst, depends on how you look at it, they got triple whammied, then got put into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola County, I assume, that's where most of the Hispanics are, Osceola County, Kissimmee, in that area, my question is, you said 22 percent were Hispanic voting age population. What percent of registration is Hispanic? SENATOR JONES: What percentage of the registered voters are Hispanic? SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Registered are Hispanic. SENATOR JONES: I can tell you that very quickly. By the way, that is District 24 and not 25, that's in central Florida. I wanted to be sure of which one you're talking about. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Well, I'm not as old as Senator Posey, but my eyesight is getting there, so it seems like it's 25 to me, because it includes Osceola County. SENATOR JONES: Well, Osceola County is certainly in 24, and but it's split between 24 and 25. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Whatever the numbers Mr. Chairman, for follow up? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Both 24 and 25 include Osceola County, the biggest portion of it is in 25. The biggest	

	Page 58			Page 60
1	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Right.	1	SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. Do you remember the	
2	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: So, it would be 25.	2	overwhelming sentiment in Panama City that Bay County be	
3	SENATOR JONES: The standard populations in Osceola	3	within one Congressional district?	
4	County are in 24.	4	SENATOR JONES: I think there was yeah, I believe	
5	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: The Hispanic	5	that's true.	
6	concentration is in Osceola County, in District 24?	6	SENATOR LATVALA: Is there a reason why you didn't do	
7	SENATOR JONES: Right.	7	that?	
8	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But are there other	8	SENATOR JONES: No, other than the current district	
9	Hispanic south of District 24 going into 25? And the	9	did that.	
10	question is, is there any kind of diluting of Hispanic	10	SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. In terms of looking at	
11	power by splitting them up into different Congressional	11	communities of interest	
12	seats in your plan?	12	SENATOR JONES: Because one of the things that I say	
13	SENATOR JONES: Well, District 25 contains, I'm not	13	we were trying to do, and you are right, we did have some	
14	sure if I have those numbers, but District 25 does contain	14	public testimony I will tell you this for whatever it is	
15	15 percent Hispanic population, but and I don't want to	15	worth there were some people it's not worth anything	
16	tell you, because I don't know, but my guess is that most	16	so I won't mention it.	
17	of that is probably going to be in Broward County, or some	17	The point that the first principle that we had was	
18	of it's going to be in Broward and possibly Palm Beach.	18	attempting to keep, minimize the deviations where we could	
19	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: And Osceola and	19	and have the same people represented by the same person as	
20	Kissimmee or Kissimmee and Osceola and BOV S.LIKE and	20	they were before. And the current district for District 1	
21	all those area are in 24 in your plan?	21	does that, and so we did that.	
22	SENATOR JONES: That's what I believe, but I'll check	22	SENATOR LATVALA: In terms of the communities of	
23	and make sure and we'll let you know.	23	interest and keeping them together, I am looking at a	
24	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: One final question,	24	couple of these, they look a little curious to me. One of	
25	Mr	25	them is District 12 where it looks to me like you are going	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 59			Page 61
1	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You're recognized.	1	from the Carrollwood area of Tampa, north of Tampa, around	
2	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: If you put the Hispanic	2	through Bartow, where of course I grew up, and down through	
3	populations in combined are Hispanic populations in 24	3	Hardee, Desoto County to get to Charlotte and then back	
4	and 25 in your plan, that would take the number of Hispanic	4		
5		1 4	over all the way to the coast there and to get Boca	
6	voting age population to what, roughly?		over all the way to the coast there and to get Boca Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee	
_	voting age population to what, roughly? SENATOR JONES: Well. see. 25 also contains parts of	5	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee	
7	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of	5 6	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County.	
7 8	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes	5 6 7	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in	
8	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades.	5 6	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest?	
8 9	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest	5 6 7 8 9	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences	
8 9 10	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics.	5 6 7 8 9 10	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto.	
8 9 10 11	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them	5 6 7 8 9 10	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only	
8 9 10 11 12	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant.	
8 9 10 11 12 13	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it?	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to try to take these in the same order that Senator Jones	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of interest there. And then, of course, likewise, and I'm	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to try to take these in the same order that Senator Jones took them. Let's talk about public input first. Senator	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map — your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of interest there. And then, of course, likewise, and I'm surprised Senator Campbell didn't ask this question, I'm	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to try to take these in the same order that Senator Jones took them. Let's talk about public input first. Senator Jones, I think I remember seeing you at the public hearing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of interest there. And then, of course, likewise, and I'm surprised Senator Campbell didn't ask this question, I'm looking at your new District 25, and I'm seeing and I	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to try to take these in the same order that Senator Jones took them. Let's talk about public input first. Senator Jones, I think I remember seeing you at the public hearing in Panama City; were you not there in Panama City?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of interest there. And then, of course, likewise, and I'm surprised Senator Campbell didn't ask this question, I'm looking at your new District 25, and I'm seeing and I think you said it started in Highlands and went to Broward,	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to try to take these in the same order that Senator Jones took them. Let's talk about public input first. Senator Jones, I think I remember seeing you at the public hearing in Panama City; were you not there in Panama City? SENATOR JONES: I was there.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of interest there. And then, of course, likewise, and I'm surprised Senator Campbell didn't ask this question, I'm looking at your new District 25, and I'm seeing and I think you said it started in Highlands and went to Broward, but unless I'm mistaking something, it starts around Winter	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SENATOR JONES: Well, see, 25 also contains parts of Dade County too no, it doesn't, no, it doesn't, it goes into Broward and Glades. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: But it has southwest Broward, that is a lot of Hispanics. SENATOR JONES: Okay. So, if you could combine them all into a single district, then theoretically, you could get your way upwards to 23 plus 17, be about 40. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: That conceivably could be done. SENATOR JONES: I don't know if you could do it or not, but we'll take a look at it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, you're recognized for a series of questions. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to try to take these in the same order that Senator Jones took them. Let's talk about public input first. Senator Jones, I think I remember seeing you at the public hearing in Panama City; were you not there in Panama City?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Grande and then also some Lee High Acres down in Lee County. What do you think ties that all together in communities of interest? SENATOR JONES: Well, basically, the only differences that you really have is going into the Hardee/Desoto. Actually, the Desoto area. I guess that is the only difference between the two that's really significant. SENATOR LATVALA: Your map your map goes into Charlotte and Lee as well, doesn't it? SENATOR JONES: Yeah, there we go. Charlotte as well. SENATOR LATVALA: And goes all the way over to the coast. Are you familiar with the big water problems that they have there between the Charlotte County interest and the interior, agricultural interest? Big dichotomy there. So, I'm not sure you achieved any communities of interest there. And then, of course, likewise, and I'm surprised Senator Campbell didn't ask this question, I'm looking at your new District 25, and I'm seeing and I think you said it started in Highlands and went to Broward,	

	Page 62			Page 6
1	Haven and Polk Counties it also has part of you know,	1	population of Sumter County, and that looks like about	
2	the Kissimmee/St. Cloud area and Osceola County and then it	2	maybe 50 to 80,000 in Marion that are not included.	
3	goes down by Lake Okeechobee and it goes all the way into	3	So, that's roughly half of a district. So, I guess	
4	west Broward and I'm surprised that Senator	4	the point I'm making is that half of Congress Stearns'	
5	Wasserman-Schultz didn't ask about the communities of	5	district that you're taking away in your map is about equal	
6	interest there and what would tie that together west of	6	to the half of Congressman Thurman's district that we are	
7	Broward and Winter Haven and Kissimmee.	7	taking out in the committee product.	
8	SENATOR CAMPBELL: Because I have a plan.	8	SENATOR JONES: I see what you are saying. Yeah, he	
9	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are not recognized.	9	had 116,000 too many people is what he had in that	
10	(Laughter.)	10	district.	
11	SENATOR JONES: Both you and I have problems with that	11	SENATOR LATVALA: Right. So, there's some a	
12	one in trying to achieve the desires of the people who were	12	substantial part of that district that will be gone. And	
13	in the south central Florida area. You know, they wanted	13	then, of course, looks like, you know, a couple other	
14	to have a district that was largely agricultural, but then	14	examples do you know how many people in Orange County	
15	we got you and I got in there and we found out there	15	would be left in Congressional District 8? Right now, that	
16	weren't enough people, and so what you ended up doing was	16	is an entirely Orange County seat with the exception of the	
17	taking it down to Key West and what I ended up doing was	17	Buena Vista area.	
18	trying to make it a little more compact so it wouldn't be	18	SENATOR JONES: I brought that district up in my	
19	so difficult for the Congressman.	19	discussion as one that the incumbent probably would have	
20	SENATOR LATVALA: In terms of the incumbency	20	some concerns about.	
21 22	protection, which I think appears from your presentation was a major part of your theory here	21 22	SENATOR LATVALA: And then the third one, Congressman Putnam's district, his major county he lives in, Polk	
23			• •	
24	SENATOR JONES: Right. SENATOR LATVALA: looking, and I would say you did	23 24	County, his major county and the largest county in his district is currently Polk County, and I notice you split	
25	a pretty good job of protecting Congressman Thurman, but	25	that four ways, so I would think probably he would have	
23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
			DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (656) 400 30/3	
	Page 63		DIVIDION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (650) 400 90/3	Page 6
1		1	some concerns about that as well.	
1 2	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes	2	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was	
	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently		some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with	
2	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes	2 3 4	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents	
2 3	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or	2 3 4 5	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that?	
2 3 4 5 6	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is	2 3 4 5 6	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district?	2 3 4 5 6 7	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it you have a number of counties that intersect but,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the I was looking for my pluses and minuses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how lleana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how lleana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there. You have Duval in District 6, you have Baker, you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 63 then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how lleana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access seat in either 24 or 25?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there. You have Duval in District 6, you have Baker, you have Union, Bradford, Clay, Putnam and Marion currently in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access seat in either 24 or 25? SENATOR JONES: Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there. You have Duval in District 6, you have Baker, you have Union, Bradford, Clay, Putnam and Marion currently in District 6. That's Representative Cliff Stearns' district,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how lleana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access seat in either 24 or 25? SENATOR JONES: Yes. SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. Your totals in 24 was a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee — and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it — you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the — I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there. You have Duval in District 6, you have Baker, you have Union, Bradford, Clay, Putnam and Marion currently in District 6. That's Representative Cliff Stearns' district, whom we are very pleased with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access seat in either 24 or 25? SENATOR JONES: Yes. SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. Your totals in 24 was a voting age population of Hispanic of 21.7 percent and in 25	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there. You have Duval in District 6, you have Baker, you have Union, Bradford, Clay, Putnam and Marion currently in District 6. That's Representative Cliff Stearns' district, whom we are very pleased with. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Mr. Chairman, I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how Ileana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access seat in either 24 or 25? SENATOR JONES: Yes. SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. Your totals in 24 was a voting age population of Hispanic of 21.7 percent and in 25 was 15.4 percent. Our voting age population in the new	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	then I look at, for instance, Congressional District 6. Of Congressional District 6, that district currently includes Lake and Sumter and all of Martin and it doesn't currently include Columbia and Suwannee and at least those two, and Hamilton. What part of Congressional District 6 or proportion of it that's currently in the district is included in the new district? SENATOR JONES: Well, you know, you can look at it you have a number of counties that intersect but, obviously, the I was looking for my pluses and minuses. What did Congressional 6 have to add to it? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Senator, can I answer that for you? SENATOR JONES: Hold on just a moment. I'll take some assistance from Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: I can answer what part of District 6 is in, in terms of incumbency, what's in there. You have Duval in District 6, you have Baker, you have Union, Bradford, Clay, Putnam and Marion currently in District 6. That's Representative Cliff Stearns' district, whom we are very pleased with. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, Mr. Chairman, I think the point I was making had more to do with people, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some concerns about that as well. So, where I think the concern on the committee map was one incumbent that had a problem, it looks to me like with this map, you have potentially as many as three incumbents with a problem; would you agree with that? SENATOR JONES: I know I have at least two. SENATOR LATVALA: At least two. So, that's one more than the committee map. SENATOR JONES: Which one do you think is yours? SENATOR LATVALA: Thurman. SENATOR JONES: I think well, I'm not sure how lleana Ros-Lehtinen is going to feel about that map either, but I don't know. SENATOR LATVALA: And the last question, Mr. Chairman, deals with the minority access seats. And if I heard correctly, the 24 districts well, maybe I should ask you. Which did you try to achieve a Hispanic access seat in either 24 or 25? SENATOR JONES: Yes. SENATOR LATVALA: Okay. Your totals in 24 was a voting age population of Hispanic of 21.7 percent and in 25 was 15.4 percent. Our voting age population in the new district that we created, District 24, was 38.5 percent.	

				-
1	Page 66	1	I'll will out the great numbers for your because and	Page 68
1 2	committee plan did?	1 2	I'll pull out the exact numbers for you because you will	
3	SENATOR JONES: Under that criteria, I think that that	3	find this pretty interesting too. Of the Hispanic voters, I don't know if we can really	
3 4	one is the better one for minority access; however SENATOR LATVALA: Which one's the better one?	4	pull this out the way that I wanted to, I can tell you	
5	SENATOR LATVALA. Which ones the better one: SENATOR JONES: The one that you had.	5	that well, yeah, maybe we can, you're right. Okay.	
6	SENATOR LATVALA: The committee product?	6	There are 22,000 Hispanic Democrats in 24 and 10,000	
7	SENATOR LATVALA. The committee product: SENATOR JONES: Yes, the committee product is a better	7	Hispanic Republicans, so, yeah.	
8	one for that, but I also think that that one is	8	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Was that part of your	
9	extraordinary in geographical size and contains multiple	9	reason, just to clarify the point, did that go into the,	
10	communities of interest in a way that would make it very,	10	when you're creating a Hispanic minority seat, did you	
11	very difficult for the person to do a good job representing	11	consider party affiliation when you drafted the map or did	
12	them, so I think we can both do a better job in making that	12	you just do it to really create a Hispanic access seat for	
13	district even a better Hispanic seat as well as a more	13	the majority of Hispanics in Florida that are, in fact,	
	•		•	
14 15	compact seat. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman Linet want to	14 15	Republicans? SENATOR JONES: Well, under law, you can't do it just	
	SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, I just want to			
16 17	conclude my questions by saying I appreciate, Senator	16	purely on ethnicity or race.	
17	Jones, you doing this. You know, I had been critical last	17	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I understand.	
18	week because there hadn't been any alternative product and	18	SENATOR DIAZ do la POPTILLA: Was that one of your	
19	I can assure that there's a couple of them even if we don't	19	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Was that one of your	
20	do this amendment today or accept this amendment, I can	20	considerations when you did it?	
21	assure you there's a couple of concepts in here that I like	21 22	SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA COlour. There you	
22	that you might see again.		SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Okay. Thank you.	
23	SENATOR JONES: Yeah, well, that's what I figured. I	23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell, you are	
24	thought that there were some things that are problematic	24	recognized for a question.	
25	with my map, but there were some things that were good, and DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	25	SENATOR CAMPBELL: Senator Jones, could you repeat DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 67			ъ с
1				Page 6
	vice versa, that we could both pull in there together and	1	your explanation of your amendment?	Page 6
2	probably come out with a better product.	1 2	your explanation of your amendment? (Laughter.)	Page 6
2 3				Page 6
	probably come out with a better product.	2	(Laughter.)	Page 6
3	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla,	2 3	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting	Page 6
3 4	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question.	2 3 4	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that.	Page 6
3 4 5	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the	Page 6
3 4 5 6	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters,	2 3 4 5 6	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment?	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it.	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment?	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman?	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate.	Page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just	Page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you — and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats,	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have	Page 6
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have been here and never served in a Democratic Senate, so I	Page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were trying to create a Hispanic access seat, that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have been here and never served in a Democratic Senate, so I don't think that that's something that you shouldn't try to	Page
3 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 110 111 12 13 13 14 115 116 117 118 119 220 221	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were trying to create a Hispanic access seat, that you were perhaps creating one where perhaps, of a total Hispanic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have been here and never served in a Democratic Senate, so I don't think that that's something that you shouldn't try to do, I mean, I think it's something that you ought to try to	Page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were trying to create a Hispanic access seat, that you were perhaps creating one where perhaps, of a total Hispanic population that represent maybe 25 percent of them are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have been here and never served in a Democratic Senate, so I don't think that that's something that you shouldn't try to do, I mean, I think it's something that you ought to try to do, but at the same time, try to do it keeping all of the	Page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were trying to create a Hispanic access seat, that you were perhaps creating one where perhaps, of a total Hispanic population that represent maybe 25 percent of them are Democrats, 75 percent are Republican; did that go into your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have been here and never served in a Democratic Senate, so I don't think that that's something that you shouldn't try to do, I mean, I think it's something that you ought to try to do, but at the same time, try to do it keeping all of the other parameters of the Voting Rights' Act in front of you	Page (
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	probably come out with a better product. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, question. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I know that some people, especially the broadcasters, think that all Hispanics look the same, but I assure you we don't and we also don't think the same way, we don't have the same party affiliation. We did attempt to create a Hispanic seat in either 24 or 25, but those Hispanics are mostly Democrats; is that correct? SENATOR JONES: I think that's true, yes. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Did you and the one that Senator Latvala created, those are mostly Republicans in west Dade County, overwhelmingly number, overwhelmingly Republican. Did that go at all into your thinking when you were trying to create a Hispanic access seat, that you were perhaps creating one where perhaps, of a total Hispanic population that represent maybe 25 percent of them are Democrats, 75 percent are Republican; did that go into your thinking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Laughter.) SENATOR JONES: Sure, I will have a private meeting with you here in a few minutes and we'll take care of that. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there further questions on the amendment? SENATOR JONES: This is really so scintillating that I think we ought to have a repetition of it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I don't think we can stand it. Are there further questions on the amendment? Is there debate on the amendment? SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: You are recognized for debate. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a statement. I hear people saying in reference to the map that you're drawing Democratic seats, you're drawing Republican seats. Some of the members have been here and never served in a Democratic Senate, so I don't think that that's something that you shouldn't try to do, I mean, I think it's something that you ought to try to do, but at the same time, try to do it keeping all of the other parameters of the Voting Rights' Act in front of you as you attempt to draw these districts.	Page

	D 50			D 70
1	Page 70	1		Page 72
1 2	state, so, I mean, I don't think there needs to be an	1 2	the same person makes more sense, and it seems that Senator	
3	apology for attempting to do that. And as far as north Florida is concerned, we try to	3	Jones has accomplished that to a greater extent than Senator Latvala's proposal.	
4	have a district that gets representation of people who can	4	Compactness is also an important point to raise here.	
5	represent, regardless of party, all of the diverse factions	5	Our districts look prettier. Senator Jones' proposal makes	
6	that's in north Florida. And when you start bringing	6	it, I think, easier for the members of Congress that	
7	someone from Marion County to Nassau County, it tends to	7	represented these areas to represent them. And quite	
8	make us start thinking about how do we access that	8	honestly, that is an important one. For those Senators who	
9	individual to get some of the concerns of north Florida	9	have mentioned that representing a far-flung district is	
10	addressed.	10	difficult, compactness in Senator Jones' proposal bodes	
11	So, I think this is a good compromise map and I think	11	well for the members to vote for it. I think that we	
12	it's something that we need to have in front of us as we	12	really need to make sure that we focus in on people who are	
13	proceed in this process.	13	like each other.	
14	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further debate? Senator	14	In a Hispanic access seat, you know, quite honestly,	
15	Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized for debate.	15	you could probably draw it either way, you could draw a	
16	SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	16	Hispanic access seat with people who are more Democratic	
17	Just to speak in favor of the proposal by Senator Jones.	17	and you could draw a Hispanic access seat with people who	
18	In working my way from north to south, if you listen to the	18	are more Republican. But the point is, is to draw a	
19	testimony of the people across the state of Florida,	19	Hispanic access seat and there's more than one way to skin	
20	particularly in the north central Florida area, a number of	20	that cat.	
21	Senators raised the issue in the District 5, in Senator	21	Senator Jones has done that in a way that I think	
22	Jones' proposal, which is the district that Senator that	22	makes sense because, again, it brings the district in a	
23	Congresswoman Thurman lives in, it makes a lot more sense	23	little bit more compact. Quite honestly, I think that you	
24	in this map to keep Congresswoman Thurman's base in her	24	could make an argument that it was an easier district, that	
25	district, keep it a north central Florida district, rather	25	the new Congressional District 25 would be far easier to	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 71			Page 73
1	Page 71 than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala	1		Page 73
1 2	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala	1 2	represent than and the people would have far more in	Page 73
2	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to	2	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have	Page 73
2 3	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a	2 3	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure,	Page 73
2	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to	2	represent than and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination,	Page 73
2 3 4	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on	2 3 4	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure,	Page 73
2 3 4 5	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border.	2 3 4 5	represent than and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base,	2 3 4 5 6	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her	2 3 4 5 6 7	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense,	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together. You know, quite obviously, just because you have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was literally block in, block out. It really did break up the	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together. You know, quite obviously, just because you have been to the beach one or two times doesn't mean that if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was literally block in, block out. It really did break up the communities.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together. You know, quite obviously, just because you have been to the beach one or two times doesn't mean that if you don't live on the beach that you are a community of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was literally block in, block out. It really did break up the communities. And I think that this is a much cleaner map. I think	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together. You know, quite obviously, just because you have been to the beach one or two times doesn't mean that if you don't live on the beach that you are a community of interest. So, keeping beach communities and western	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was literally block in, block out. It really did break up the communities. And I think that this is a much cleaner map. I think it does get back to the fact that people will know who	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together. You know, quite obviously, just because you have been to the beach one or two times doesn't mean that if you don't live on the beach that you are a community of interest. So, keeping beach communities and western communities together in the same district represented by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was literally block in, block out. It really did break up the communities. And I think that this is a much cleaner map. I think it does get back to the fact that people will know who represents them. Because the — literally, blocks and	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	than do what was more obviously done in the Latvala proposal, in Senator Latvala's proposal, which was to seemingly shift her out of her base and make it more of a north Florida district moving from central all the way on up to the Georgia/Florida border. That seems to be designed to take her out of her base, and this is one that makes more sense and keeps her constituency whole and her communities of interest whole. Moving down through the south down to my area in Broward and Palm Beach and Dade Counties, the issues that I raised in Senator Latvala's map are addressed, the concerns are addressed in this map. Congressional District 20 remains a west Broward and Dade Counties' Congressional seat. The communities of interest that are there now remain together. Congressional District 22, which I believe is still Congressman Shaw's district in Senator Jones' map, remains a coastal district, also gets to the goal of moving it closer in, which is something that Senator Latvala felt was important, but it keeps the beach communities together. You know, quite obviously, just because you have been to the beach one or two times doesn't mean that if you don't live on the beach that you are a community of interest. So, keeping beach communities and western	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	represent than — and the people would have far more in common than in the Senator Latvala map where you have people in Highlands County who, quite honestly, I'm sure, could not ever be, by any stretch of the imagination, assumed to be a community of interest with the people in the Keys. So, I commend the map to the members of the committee and I urge you to vote for it. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Klein, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR KLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have three elements that we usually consider, the three Cs: Compactness, contiguity and communities of interest. The fourth one I think that this map probably does a better job of than the Senator Latvala proposal is common sense, that's the fourth C. And I'm just looking particularly in Palm Beach and Broward Counties, because I was in the Congressional subcommittee concerned about the Congressman Shaw/Congressman Hastings' configuration, that it was literally block in, block out. It really did break up the communities. And I think that this is a much cleaner map. I think it does get back to the fact that people will know who	Page 73

	~			
1	Page 74	1	So, you know, I know this was a good effort, but I'm	Page 76
1	communities are not broken up geographically. I think it		-	
2	tries to follow some of the natural and roadway	2	going to ask that the I'm going to ask for your help in	
3	configurations in the area and I think just, again, it	3	defeating this amendment.	
4	really meets the common sense test, which is probably the	4	Senator Jones, to close on your amendment.	
5	one that our constituents would be more concerned about	5	SENATOR JONES: Okay. Thank you. This was an effort	
6	than anything.	6	to try to point out some of the things that were good and	
7	So, thank you for at least submitting this. I'm going	7	bad about the Latvala amendment. I think that what we want	
8	to vote for it and I think it really takes us in the right	8	to do is provide another concept for the consideration of	
9	direction.	9	the members. Senator Posey, we didn't want to insult	
10	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Posey.	10	Indian River County.	
11	SENATOR POSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I said	11	Both Senator Latvala and I basically have it divided	
12	before, I think that the Senator's precepts and principles	12	into two different districts. The third district that we	
13	upon which this is based are laudable and I commend Senator	13	have it in only has 2,000 people, so it's almost	
14	Jones for doing it on the principles. But as in the title	14	negligible. And although 2,000 people are important	
15	of the book of same, All politics are local, you know, the	15	people, so we're not going to say that, but that is an easy	
16	area that I represent is cut up so badly as to violate	16	fix.	
17	every one of those principles and precepts, so for that	17	And I would say also that of the concepts that we have	
18	reason I would be compelled to vote against this amendment.	18	here, what we would like to see is a further protection of	
19	SENATOR LATVALA: Okay, thank you, Senator Posey. Is	19	some of the incumbents, including Senator Thurman I'm	
20	there other debate on the amendment? Okay. So, even	20	sorry, Congresswoman Thurman, who was a former Senator,	
21	though I'm presiding, since this would have the effect	21	Congresswoman Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, and also I think there's	
22	of	22	a great opportunity for an additional Hispanic access seat,	
23	SENATOR JONES: Do you want me to close?	23	which Senator Diaz-Balart Senator Diaz de la Portilla	
24	SENATOR LATVALA: Well, you no, I think I'll debate	24	brought forward to us today.	
25	on its affect on my amendment and then you can close, how	25	So, with that, Mr. Chairman, I close, and hopefully,	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
1	Page 75 about that.	1	we will find another map sometime in this process as we	Page 77
2	SENATOR JONES: That's good.	2	move our way to the floor which combines many of the	
3	SENATOR LATVALA: As I said, I appreciate your help in	3	•	
4	bringing this forward, there were ideas in here I think we		concepts that are in both maps	
5		4	concepts that are in both maps. So I would like for you to vote in support of the	
		4	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the	
	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with	5	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we	
6	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on	5 6	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes.	
6 7	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20.	5 6 7	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you	
6 7 8	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the	5 6 7 8	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea.	
6 7 8 9	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami	5 6 7 8 9	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.)	
6 7 8 9 10	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would	5 6 7 8 9 10	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay.	
6 7 8 9 10 11	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.)	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20. I think it's also hard to be too concerned about the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20. I think it's also hard to be too concerned about the difference between Highlands and Miami-Dade when, in this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20. I think it's also hard to be too concerned about the difference between Highlands and Miami-Dade when, in this map, we are looking at the difference between Osceola and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin. SENATOR COWIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Crist.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20. I think it's also hard to be too concerned about the difference between Highlands and Miami-Dade when, in this map, we are looking at the difference between Osceola and Polk and Broward. It's very those are even farther	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin. SENATOR COWIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Crist.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20. I think it's also hard to be too concerned about the difference between Highlands and Miami-Dade when, in this map, we are looking at the difference between Osceola and Polk and Broward. It's very those are even farther spread apart.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin. SENATOR COWIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Crist. SENATOR CRIST: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dawson.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can utilize. I would try to keep my sense of humor with respect to the Senator Wasserman-Schultz comments on District 20. You know, she was concerned that District 20 and the subcommittee product went from west Broward down to Miami Beach and I'm somewhat perplexed by the fact that she would think that this District 20 would be better since it starts basically in downtown Pompano Beach, comes through north Lauderdale, Tamarac, Lauderhill, Sunrise, goes back into downtown Fort Lauderdale, does down into Hollywood, doesn't have most of west Broward in it and then comes down into Dade and goes all the way around the bottom of the Dade district, back up into Pinecrest along the coast. And it's hard not to take that seriously with regard to the concerns that were raised earlier about the other option on District 20. I think it's also hard to be too concerned about the difference between Highlands and Miami-Dade when, in this map, we are looking at the difference between Osceola and Polk and Broward. It's very those are even farther	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So, I would like for you to vote in support of the amendment. Regardless of which one we take forward, we know, surely, that there are going to be future changes. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Jones having closed, if you favor the amendment, signify by saying, Yea. (Affirmation votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Opposed, Nay. (Negative votes.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It is defeated. Two people is what is required that would like to have a roll call. Secretary, call the roll on the amendment. THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite. SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell. SENATOR CAMPBELL: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine. SENATOR CONSTANTINE: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin. SENATOR COWIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Crist.	

SENATOR DAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Webster. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: No. So, by your vote, the amendment is defeated. Now, we are on the another amendment, which is by Senator Latvala. Senator Latvala, you are recognized, which is under Tab 5 Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in the other district below it, 16.	Page 80
THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE SECRETARY: Senator Webster. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: No. So, by your vote, the amendment is defeated. Now, we are on the another amendment, which is by Senator Latvala. Senator Latvala, you are recognized, which is under Tab 5 Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: No. So, by your vote, the amendment is defeated. Now, we are on the another amendment, which is by Senator Latvala. Senator Latvala, you are recognized, which is under Tab 5 Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, by your vote, the amendment is defeated. Now, we are on the — another amendment, which is by Senator Latvala. Senator Latvala, you are recognized, which is under Tab 5 — Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split — changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR GARCIA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are on the — another amendment, which is by Senator Latvala. Senator Latvala, you are recognized, which is under Tab 5 — Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split — changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Latvala. Senator Latvala, you are recognized, which is under Tab 5 — Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split — changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR GELLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under Tab 5 Tab 3. SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, this is Plan S19C005. It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR HOLZENDORF: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It has four or five, what I would call, relatively minor changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	changes, all to accommodate either incumbent Congressmen or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split — changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR JONES: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or, you know, members here. The first one changes District 1 from a split — changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The first one changes District 1 from a split — changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR KING: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	changes District 1 to not split Holmes County and instead split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	split Walton County. The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR KLEIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The second change is at the request of Congressman Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Porter Goss, the Southwest Florida Regional Airport and the Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR LATVALA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	17 18 19 20 21 22	Florida Gulf Coast University located east of I-75 in Lee County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	18 19 20 21 22	County were added to District 25. At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR LAURENT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	19 20 21 22	At the request of Congressman Adam Putnam, the Avon Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	20 21 22	Park Bombing Range and the Avon Park Air Force Base were added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
SENATOR LAWSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	21 22	added to District 12. They were partly in 12 and partly in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: No.	22		
SENATOR LEE: No.			
		And at the request and in the utmost intent to be	
	24		
		•	
	23	_	
Page 79			Page 81
THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek.	1	Broward County between Sunrise Lakes and Inverrary based on	
SENATOR MEEK: Yes.	2	the district that they had previously been represented in	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek?	3	to accommodate keeping the incumbents' districts together.	
SENATOR MEEK: I said yes.	4		
THE SECRETARY: Senator Miller.	5	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the	
SENATOR MILLER: Yes.	6	amendment? Senator Meek, you are recognized for a	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Peaden.	7	question.	
SENATOR PEADEN: No.	8	SENATOR MEEK: My first question is a procedural one,	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Posey.	9	Mr. Chairman. Are we taking, while the full committee is	
SENATOR POSEY: No.	10	taking its vote, are we taking any public testimony?	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Pruitt.	11	Because I know last bill we didn't.	
SENATOR PRUITT: No.	12	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: I'm sorry, we've got two questions	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanderson.	13	at the same time.	
SENATOR SANDERSON: No.	14	SENATOR MEEK: Oh, okay. On the last bill, we didn't	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Saunders.	15	take any public testimony on the amendment, are we taking	
SENATOR SAUNDERS: No.	16	any public testimony? I understand there's folks here that	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Sebesta.	17	want to share things with the full committee on these	
SENATOR SEBESTA: No.	18	things that we are passing or adopting.	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Silver.	19	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Well, we'll vote on that. There's	
SENATOR SILVER: No.	20	a rule in the Senate that it takes two-thirds' vote of the	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Smith.	21	committee in order to take public testimony. I assume	
SENATOR SMITH: Yes.	22	people would want to do that. And we'll do that as soon as	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Sullivan. Senator Villalobos.	23	we're ready on the final product, we will take testimony.	
SENATOR VILLALOBOS: No.	24	There's one I have one card. If you would like to	
THE SECRETARY: Senator Wasserman-Schultz.	25	testify, you have to fill out a Senate committee	
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek. SENATOR MEEK: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek? SENATOR MEEK: I said yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Miller. SENATOR MILLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Peaden. SENATOR PEADEN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Posey. SENATOR POSEY: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Pruitt. SENATOR PRUITT: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanderson. SENATOR SANDERSON: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Saunders. SENATOR SAUNDERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sebesta. SENATOR SEDESTA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Silver. SENATOR SEDESTA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Smith. SENATOR SMITH: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sullivan. Senator Villalobos. SENATOR VILLALOBOS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Wasserman-Schultz.	Page 79 Page 79 THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek. 1 SENATOR MEEK: Yes. 1 SENATOR MEEK: Yes. 4 1 SENATOR MILLER: Yes. 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Deutsch and Wexler, we changed a number of blocks in DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 Page 79 THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek. SENATOR MEEK: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Miller. SENATOR MILLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Miller. SENATOR MILLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Miller. SENATOR MILLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek. SENATOR MILLER: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Posey. SENATOR MEEK: My first question is a procedural one, of the district may be didn't. SENATOR POSEY: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Posey. SENATOR POSEY: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanderson. SENATOR POSEY: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanderson. SENATOR SANDERSON: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanderson. SENATOR SANDERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanders. SENATOR SANDERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanders. SENATOR SEBESTA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanders. SENATOR SEBESTA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanders. SENATOR SEBESTA: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Silver. SENATOR SILVER: No. 20 a rule in the Senate that it takes two-thirds' vote of the SENATOR SILVER: No. 21 committee in order to take public testimony. I assume people would want to do that. And we'll do that as soon as we're ready on the final product, we will take testimony. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sultivan. Senator Villalobos. SENATOR VILLALOBOS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sultivan. Senator Villalobos. SENATOR WILLALOBOS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Sultivan. Senator Villalobos. SENATOR WILLALOBOS: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Committee.

	Page 82			Page 84
1	appearance.	1	this committee with SFREP's statement concerning the	
2	SENATOR MILLER: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.	2	proposed planning; however, before I begin, I am compelled	
3	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Yes, Senator Meek.	3	to tell you that I believe that minorities and language	
4	SENATOR MEEK: So, basically, Mr. Chairman, I guess it	4	minorities have been effectively shut out of this process.	
5	is going to take a two-thirds' vote, because some of the	5	The majority of the public hearings were held at times	
6	public testimony that took place on the subcommittee level,	6	and in locations that prevented Florida working people from	
7	if some took place on the subcommittee level, adopting	7	participating. The access to information concerning the	
8	that, they didn't.	8	redistricting process is the most compelling example of	
9	So, I mean, I want to hear from some of these folks.	9	Florida's and this country's digital divide.	
10	And I don't know, maybe, Mr. Chairman, if that is the rule	10	Our people do not have and cannot afford the	
11	of this process on this bill, maybe we want to see if we	11	sophisticated home computers to run the FREDS program nor	
12	could waive that, Mr. Chairman, with your it seems like	12	the additional software necessary to participate in this	
13	there's a line of people, but if there are some.	13	process. While the Legislature has provided copies of the	
14	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: All we have to do, it takes a	14	FREDS software to various libraries, most of the libraries	
15	two-thirds' vote of the committee, we can't waive the	15	in our communities suffer from the same divide and lack of	
16	Senate rules. It is a Senate rule, not a committee rule,	16	resources and funding.	
17	not a reapportionment rule, it is a Senate rule. But we	17	Further, no additional necessary hardware or software	
18	can take public testimony as long as two-thirds of the	18	training or translation accompanied the software. The	
19	members agree.	19	process has been described as the most open; however, we	
20	SENATOR LATVALA: Would you take a motion from me to	20	ask, For whom? Florida Hispanic population has and	
21	allow public testimony?	21	continues to grow at an astonishing pace. According to the	
22	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Yes, I would. Any objection to the	22	U.S. Census, Latinos are now nearly 17 percent of Florida's	
23	motion by Senator Latvala to allow public testimony on the	23	total population; we are the largest minority in this	
24	bill as amended?	24	state.	
25	(No audible response.)	25	Hispanics share a language and many cultural and	
23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 83			Page 85
1	Page 83 CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get	1	economic communities of interest, regardless of whether we	Page 8:
1 2	•	1 2	economic communities of interest, regardless of whether we are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our	Page 8:
	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the		are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our	Page 8:
2	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public	2	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and	Page 8:
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony.	2 3 4	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared.	Page 8:
2	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've	2 3	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and	Page 8:
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment.	2 3 4 5 6	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan,	Page 8:
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the	Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our	Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength.	Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the	Page 8:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic	Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to — we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to — we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida.	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to — we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to — we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our	Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the participation, Hispanic, and other ethnic communities in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our state.	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the participation, Hispanic, and other ethnic communities in the democratic process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our state. I commend Senator Jones, in fact, for the plan	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the participation, Hispanic, and other ethnic communities in the democratic process. I have testified at the redistricting public hearings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our state. I commend Senator Jones, in fact, for the plan presented, because at least he addresses the Hispanic	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to — we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the participation, Hispanic, and other ethnic communities in the democratic process. I have testified at the redistricting public hearings here in Tallahassee, Orlando, Tampa and Miami and have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our state. I commend Senator Jones, in fact, for the plan presented, because at least he addresses the Hispanic communities in these areas of the state and provides our	Page 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the participation, Hispanic, and other ethnic communities in the democratic process. I have testified at the redistricting public hearings here in Tallahassee, Orlando, Tampa and Miami and have been present at others. Today, I have traveled here to provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our state. I commend Senator Jones, in fact, for the plan presented, because at least he addresses the Hispanic communities in these areas of the state and provides our communities with an opportunity to elect a candidate of our	Page 8.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's adopted. As soon as we get this amendment passed, or if it doesn't, we'll be on the final passage of the bill and we will take public testimony. SENATOR LATVALA: Oh, is anybody waiting on me? I've explained the amendment. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Are there questions on the amendment, which looks like a fairly technical amendment? Are there questions on it? Are there objections to the amendment offered? (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Show it adopted without objection. Now, we are on the bill as an amendment. We would like to — we have voted to take public testimony. And the first card I have is Alvero Fernandez. You are recognized. MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Chair, member of the committee, good afternoon. My name is Alvero Fernandez, I am the Florida director of the Southwest Florida Registration Education Project. We are a national, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to increasing the participation, Hispanic, and other ethnic communities in the democratic process. I have testified at the redistricting public hearings here in Tallahassee, Orlando, Tampa and Miami and have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are discussing south, central or north Florida. Our interest and access to education, jobs, health care, and social programs are shared. It is with these concerns that we will review and comment on any proposed plan. The committee proposed plan, the one I have seen today, fails to take into account the Hispanic community's interest and essentially dilutes our voting strength. While our incumbent Congressmen in south Florida are protected under the proposed plan, the plan keeps the status quo and fails to take into account the Hispanic community's growth and community of interest. Neither of the two proposed new Congressional districts takes into account the Hispanic population in parts of central Florida. Certain areas in Seminole, Osceola and Orange Counties have experienced more than 100 percent Hispanic growth. We implore you to revise the proposed plan and take into account the Hispanic communities in the central area of our state. I commend Senator Jones, in fact, for the plan presented, because at least he addresses the Hispanic communities in these areas of the state and provides our	Page 8

Page 86 Page 88 1 choice. I ask that you do not rush through this process 1 have a potential, just within the two districts which 2 and return to the communities with the proposed plans, not 2 aren't up on the board right now, 24 and 25, that we drew 3 by way of the Internet, but face to face in our communities 3 in my amendment, and which go from Orange County down to 4 4 and in the evening when many of our hardworking people can Broward County as the southernmost point, we have a real 5 participate. Thank you very much. 5 opportunity to actually create a Hispanic seat that would 6 CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Thank you. Now, we have Jenell 6 have a fairly strong Hispanic population of somewhere 7 7 Bowden. Bowden, that's what I said, Bowden. Ganell, is it around 35 to 40 percent. 8 8 Ganell? Glenell. You are recognized. And so, I just wanted to make sure that the members 9 9 MR. BOWDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had intended were aware of it. And I'm not sure if those communities 10 to speak in favor of Senator Jones' amendment, and since 10 are geographically situated where that would make sense, 11 it's been defeated, I would only offer that, as you go 11 but I'm certainly willing to work with Senator Latvala and 12 12 forward through this process, that you consider some of the Senator Diaz de la Portilla and anybody else who is 13 things that were included in Senator Jones' resolution. 13 interested in doing that to take a closer look at what the 14 14 However, I spoke at the subcommittee, Mr. Chairman, at opportunities are in that particular area. 15 the last meeting, and raised concerns and didn't get an 15 And so, also, I appreciate Senator Latvala saying that 16 answer to my satisfaction and I may not get one here today. 16 he appreciates some of the concepts that were in the plan 17 It has to do with the statistical information that's used 17 that we presented and would expect to see some of those 18 18 to determine a minority access district. Particularly, concepts incorporated into his final product. 19 19 when you talk about multiracial, that is a census term and At this point, you know, I applaud what he's done so that's a classification. 20 20 far. I think that we still have a ways to go, I'm not 21 As an African-American man who has a single-race 2.1 going to support it at this time, but I do look forward to 22 mother and a single-race father, I guess my concern is why 22 seeing a better plan as time goes on. Thank you very much. 23 23 CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, you're would multiracial be included as a non-Hispanic black and 24 not be a category that stands alone by itself. When one 24 25 argues that a minority access district is blacker because 25 SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 Page 87 Page 89 they are multiracial seems to be somewhat unfair when you 1 Just for some brief comments, responding to something Mr. 2 2 have single-race whites in a category by themselves. Alvaro Fernandez, I believe his last name, said earlier. 3 3 It would make sense to me that you have single-race Three of the members of the committee are 4 4 blacks in a category by themselves, multiracial in a Hispanic-Americans, and six are African-Americans, so 5 category by themselves and then determine later whether you 5 there's nine minority members of this committee. I have 6 want to add them to the black, non-Hispanic population or 6 never received any correspondence or any phone calls from to the white, non-Hispanic population. But to add them to 7 7 Mr. Fernandez regarding his concerns about Hispanics not 8 8 the black population, I think is screwing with numbers and being properly represented and a voice in decisions that 9 9 giving them a false impression that the district is blacker are being made by this committee. 10 10 than what it really is. And that's my comment. And I obviously welcome them and my doors, as I'm sure 11 CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Thank you for appearing. Okay. 11 Senator Villalobos' doors and Senator Garcia's doors will 12 That's all the public testimony cards that I have. Did you 12 always be open to him for any kind of input, but to say 13 have a question? 13 that this committee does not have participation or input 14 SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: I just have a question 14 from Hispanic-Americans, I can tell you that's definitely 15 15 very, very brief comment on one of the speakers. It's for not the case. All three of us have fought to make sure 16 16 the record. Very brief. that our communities are represented and that our people 17 CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Well, why don't you make it? We 17 are not disenfranchised. 18 are going to have debate on the bill. So, if you want to 18 And we will continue to do so. I think Senator 19 19 make it during that time, that would be appropriate. Now, Latvala has gone out of his way to be fair to all the 20 we are on the bill as amended. There were no questions. 20 parties involved and is very, very concerned and cognizant 21 21 Does anyone want to debate the bill as amended? Senator of the fact that the minorities need to be represented in 22 22 Jones, you are recognized. Congress and you have to have seats that provide minorities 23 SENATOR JONES: Mr. Chairman, I would just bring up 23 an opportunity to get elected. So, I just wanted for the 24 Senator Latvala and Senator Diaz de la Portilla, that, you 24 record to reflect that. Thank you. 25 know, one of the things that I didn't realize is that we do 25 SENATOR GARCIA: Mr. Chairman? DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675 DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675

	5.00			
1	Page 90	1	areas of Proposed County that are comments in Comments	Page 92
1	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Garcia, you are recognized	1	areas of Broward County that are currently in Congressional	
2	for debate.	2	District 20. That's me. Continues the community of	
3	SENATOR GARCIA: Mr. Chairman, thank you. And just to	3	interest that are there now and makes it a lot easier for	
4	follow up on Senator Diaz de la Portilla's statement, I	4	those people to understand who represents them.	
5	have known Mr. Fernandez for quite some time. As a matter	5	In the Latvala proposal, because Congressional	
6	of fact, in 1986, he was one of my opponents, if I recall	6	District 22 swings off the beach and runs through central	
7	correctly.	7	and west Broward to pick up people who really have little	
8	To make a long story short, I think it's important to	8	to nothing in common with the people up and down the east	
9	let the record reflect that this committee has been well	9	coast makes less sense and Senator Latvala has done an	
10	represented by all minorities, African-American as well as	10	excellent job and really has expressed a willingness to sit	
11	women, as well as Hispanics. And mostly those of us that	11	down with me and I know the other members of the committee	
12	have come from one county that are Cuban-Americans that	12	and work through those issues. I look forward to	
13	have been very vocal throughout this entire process and I	13	continuing to do that with him.	
14	would like to remind the members of the audience and those	14	But to match the people in west Broward with the	
15	that are here that really want to try to make an impact,	15	people on the beach, in either District 22 or District 20,	
16	that they should communicate with us as Senator Diaz de la	16	does not make sense, would make it difficult for the member	
17	Portilla said.	17	of Congress representing both of those communities to	
18	It is important that we hear other philosophies that	18	fairly represent them in Washington, D.C., our nation's	
19	we perhaps have not heard. And my offices are always open.	19	capitol, because quite honestly, west Broward constituents	
20	I take phone calls seven days a week, sometimes 24 hours a	20	in the western communities have quite a bit of difference	
21	day in meetings throughout the community. It's important	21	and a different agenda and a different set of priorities	
22	to let the community know, once again, and in particular	22	than people do on the beach, and I think that we should be	
23	Mr. Fernandez, that I've never heard a phone call or any	23	a little more consistent.	
24	statements that he has made in adverse to this, at least	24	So, today, I'm not able to support the proposal in	
25	through our offices, and we would like to work with him and	25	front of us.	
	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675		DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 91			Page 93
1	anyone else that believes that this bill and project	1	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Further debate? Senator Latvala,	Page 93
2	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct.	2	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute.	Page 93
2 3	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living	2 3	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we	Page 93
2 3 4	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout	2 3 4	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some	Page 93
2 3	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in	2 3 4 5	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be	2 3 4 5 6	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator	2 3 4 5 6 7	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path.	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria — the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute.	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized.	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria — the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something.	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria — the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria — the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something.	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of interest in his map.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria — the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted for this plan, I intend to vote for it again today. I do	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of interest in his map.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria — the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of interest in his map. If you look back in the back of our packet, Senators, to Congressional District 20, Congressional District 20 in Dade County currently swings around exactly except for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted for this plan, I intend to vote for it again today. I do believe it is a work in progress, which is why I voted for it before. I think there are some questions on	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of interest in his map. If you look back in the back of our packet, Senators, to Congressional District 20, Congressional District 20 in Dade County currently swings around exactly except for the Florida Keys in the areas that the Jones' proposal does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted for this plan, I intend to vote for it again today. I do believe it is a work in progress, which is why I voted for it before. I think there are some questions on Congresswoman Thurman's seat, I have some problems as I've	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of interest in his map. If you look back in the back of our packet, Senators, to Congressional District 20, Congressional District 20 in Dade County currently swings around exactly except for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted for this plan, I intend to vote for it again today. I do believe it is a work in progress, which is why I voted for it before. I think there are some questions on	Page 95
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anyone else that believes that this bill and project throughout the reapportionment process is not correct. And I also remind you that this is a working, living product that is opted to change as we continue throughout the process as it goes to the floor of the Senate and, in particular, when we go into conference, there's going to be many opportunities to address certain issues that Senator Jones has brought up as well. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to rectify the record. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Wasserman-Schultz, you are recognized in debate. SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time, I would be speaking in opposition to Senator Latvala's proposal. And just to point out, I know that he mentioned perhaps what he could describe he described it as a discrepancy in my logic between describing a community of interest in the Jones' map versus a community of interest in his map. If you look back in the back of our packet, Senators, to Congressional District 20, Congressional District 20 in Dade County currently swings around exactly except for the Florida Keys in the areas that the Jones' proposal does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you are recognized to close on your committee substitute. SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we have covered this ground pretty good. It's still, to some degree, a work in process. We are going to try to take the constructive suggestions that we are now starting to get a little more of and continue moving this on down the path. I think on balance, it is a good plan that meets basically the same criteria the same objectives that Senator Jones outlined in his amendment. And I think it is a good product and I urge a positive vote and I move it as a committee substitute. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Campbell. He has already closed. You are recognized. SENATOR CAMPBELL: I move that we reopen so I can just say something. CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: It's reopened so you can say something. SENATOR CAMPBELL: Well, in the subcommittee, I voted for this plan, I intend to vote for it again today. I do believe it is a work in progress, which is why I voted for it before. I think there are some questions on Congresswoman Thurman's seat, I have some problems as I've	Page 93

	Page 94			Page 9
1	Senator Jones' and yours, Senator Latvala, and I would hope	1	SENATOR MILLER: No.	
2	that there would be, and I know from your assurances, that	2	THE SECRETARY: Senator Peaden.	
3	this is again a work in progress, and hopefully we can get	3	SENATOR PEADEN: Yes.	
4	this so that everybody in the state of Florida is	4	THE SECRETARY: Senator Posey.	
5	protected.	5	SENATOR POSEY: Yes.	
6	We again have to consider the fact that the Democrats	6	THE SECRETARY: Senator Pruitt.	
7	are 50 percent, the Republicans are 50 percent. I don't	7	SENATOR PRUITT: Yes.	
8	see this as 50 percent, but I think it is a work that's	8	THE SECRETARY: Senator Sanderson.	
9	going in the right direction, so I just want to put that on	9	SENATOR SANDERSON: Yes.	
10	the record.	10	THE SECRETARY: Senator Saunders.	
11	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Senator Latvala, having closed, the	11	SENATOR SAUNDERS: Yes.	
12	Secretary will call the roll on the he moves it as a	12	THE SECRETARY: Senator Sebesta.	
13	committee substitute. And call the roll.	13	SENATOR SEBESTA: Yes.	
14	THE SECRETARY: Senator Brown-Waite.	14	THE SECRETARY: Senator Silver.	
15	SENATOR BROWN-WAITE: Yes.	15	SENATOR SILVER: Yes.	
16	THE SECRETARY: Senator Campbell.	16	THE SECRETARY: Senator Smith.	
17	SENATOR CAMPBELL: Yes.	17	SENATOR SMITH: No.	
18	THE SECRETARY: Senator Carlton. Senator Constantine.	18	THE SECRETARY: Senator Sullivan. Senator Villalobos.	
19	SENATOR CONSTANTINE: Yes.	19	SENATOR VILLALOBOS: Yes.	
20	THE SECRETARY: Senator Cowin.	20	THE SECRETARY: Senator Wasserman-Schultz.	
21	SENATOR COWIN: Yes.	21	SENATOR WASSERMAN-SCHULTZ: No.	
22	THE SECRETARY: Senator Crist.	22	THE SECRETARY: Senator Webster.	
23	SENATOR CRIST: Yes.	23	CHAIRMAN WEBSTER: Yes.	
24	THE SECRETARY: Senator Dawson.	24	So, by your vote, a substitute will be reported	
25	SENATOR DAWSON: (No audible response.)	25	favorably. I will remind everyone that we do have a fair,	
23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	23	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (850) 488-9675	
	Page 95			Page
1	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla.	1	open, and conclusive process. We had 24 public hearings,	Page
2	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes.	2	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened	Page
2 3	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia.	2 3	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke.	Page
2 3 4	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes.	2 3 4	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the	Page
2	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller.	2 3	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters,	Page
2 3 4 5 6	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No.	2 3 4 5 6	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf.	2 3 4 5 6 7	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries,	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls,	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you,	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lawson. SENATOR LAWSON: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 95 THE SECRETARY: Senator Diaz de la Portilla. SENATOR DIAZ de la PORTILLA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Dyer. Senator Garcia. SENATOR GARCIA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Geller. SENATOR GELLER: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Holzendorf. SENATOR HOLZENDORF: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Jones. SENATOR JONES: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator King. SENATOR KING: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Laurent. SENATOR LAURENT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Leurent. SENATOR LAWSON: No. THE SECRETARY: Senator Lee. SENATOR LEE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Senator Meek.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we listened to over 1,200 people, 3,500 came and listened to us, 1,200 of those spoke. We established on the Internet where members of the public can access plans, analysis of plans, letters, transcripts, census data, legal considerations, FREDS software was developed, distributed in public libraries, universities, and is available to the public for \$20. Every member has access to that same software. We feel like it's very open and very inclusive and it doesn't end there. Here is a plan, everyone has said it is a work in process, and we still through letters, phone calls, E-mails and even a submission of an alternative plan are inviting the public to participate in this process, and the members too. And with that, thank you-all for coming. Thank you, Senator Latvala, for a very good product and a lot of hard work and he moves we rise.	Page